Equality & Poverty Impact Assessment 00451 (Version 1)

SECTION ONE: ESSENTIAL INFORMATION								
Service & Division:	'	Corporate & Housing Services			: Crawford Bell	Crawford Bell		
Housing & Communities		Team	: Communities	Communities				
		Те	+447483919745	+447483919745				
				Emai	crawford.bell@falkirk.gov.uk			
Proposal:	Westquarter Wing - SPR			Reference No	451			
What is the Proposal?		Budget & Other Financial Decision	Policy (New or Change)		HR Policy & Practice	Change to Service Delivery / Service Design		
		Yes	No		No	Yes		

	Yes	No	No	Yes
Who does the Proposal affect?	Service Users	Members of the Public	Employees	Job Applicants
	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
Other place enecify				

Identify the main aims and projected outcome of this proposal (please add date of each update):					
01/04/2023	Considering closure or alternative delivery model of this community building.				
22/01/2024	Ongoing support to explore a Community Asset Transfer throughout 2023				

Printed: 24/01/2024 12:20 Page: 1 of 11

SECTION TWO: FINANCIAL INFORMATION						
For budget changes ONLY please include information below:			Benchmark, e.g. Scottish Average			
Current spend on this service (£'0000s)	Total:					
Reduction to this service budget (£'0000s)	Per Annum:		Financial savings detailed in the report; Strategic Property Review Update, Falkirk Council, 31st January 2024			
Increase to this service budget (£'000s)	Per Annum:					
If this is a change to a charge or	Current Annual Income Total:					
concession please complete.	Expected Annual Income Total:					
If this is a budget decision, when will the	Start Date:					
saving be achieved?	End Date (if any):					

Page: 2 of 11 Printed: 24/01/2024 12:20

SECTION THREE: EVIDENCE	Please include any evidence or relevant information that has influenced the decisions contained in this EPIA. (This could include
	demographic profiles; audits; research; health needs assessments; national guidance or legislative requirements and how this relates to the
	protected characteristic groups.)

protected characteristic groups who might be affected by changes to the service.

Monthly usage sample - 386 users.

Activities mainly community ones: Knitting and Crochet Class, Bingo, Youth Club, Babies & Toddlers, Memories Group, Cooking Classes, Youth Club, Family History Group, weekly Food Pantry.

B - Qualitative Evidence

A - Quantitative Evidence

This is data which describes the effect or impact of a change on a group of people, e.g. some information provided as part of performance reporting.

This is evidence which is numerical and should include the number people who use the service and the number of people from the

Social - case studies; personal / group feedback / other

There were no respondents to the survey about this building.

Best Judgement:					
Has best judgement been used in place of data/research/evidence?	No				
Who provided the best judgement and what was this based on?					
What gaps in data / information were identified?					
Is further research necessary?	Yes / No				
If NO, please state why.					

Printed: 24/01/2024 12:20 Page: 3 of 11

SECTION FOUR: ENGAGEMENT Engagemen	t with individual	s or organisations affected by the policy or proposal must take place		
Has the proposal / policy / project been subject to engagement or consultation with service users taking into account their protected characteristics and socio-economic status?				
If YES, please state who was engagement with. No one response residents.		nded to the survey in relation to Westquarter Wing identifying as users and local affected		
	A series of public events were held in libraries and schools across Falkirk during January 2023 and one online event.			
	Further resear	ch and information is required to complete this assessment.		
	Ongoing supp	ort to explore a CAT throughout 2023		
If NO engagement has been conducted, please state why.				
How was the engagement carried out?		What were the results from the engagement? Please list		
Focus Group	No			
Survey	Yes	No respondents - further engagement required.		
Display / Exhibitions	No			
User Panels	No			
Public Event	Yes	Braes High School January 10th 2023.		
. , ,		t Committee members have discussed the idea of a partnership with Falkirk Council or an enue. Discussions are still being taken forward.		
Has the proposal / policy/ project been reviewed / changed as a result of the engagement?		No		
Have the results of the engagement been fed back to the consultees?		No		
Is further engagement recommended?		Yes		

Printed: 24/01/2024 12:20 Page: 4 of 11

SECTION FIVE: ASSESSING THE IMPACT

Equality Protected Characteristics:

What will the impact of implementing this proposal be on people who share characteristics protected by the Equality Act 2010 or are likely to be affected by the proposal / policy / project? This section allows you to consider other impacts, e.g. poverty, health inequalities, community justice, carers etc.

Protected Characteristic	Neutral Impact	Positive Impact	Negative Impact	Please provide evidence of the impact on this protected characteristic.
Age			✓	A wide range of ages use this facility including babies and toddlers, a youth group and a memories group
Disability				
Sex				
Ethnicity				
Religion / Belief / non-Belief				
Sexual Orientation				
Transgender				
Pregnancy / Maternity				
Marriage / Civil Partnership				
Poverty			✓	Impact unknown as no respondents. A weekly food Pantry attended by 40 persons will have a negative impact on low income families.
Care Experienced				
Other, health, community justice, carers etc.				
Risk (Identify other risks associated with this change)	d Unknown. There is not enough information to inform this assessment from the engagement exercise. Further engagement is required with building users and the management committee.			

Printed: 24/01/2024 12:20 Page: 5 of 11

Public Sector Equality Duty: Scottish Public Authorities must have 'due regard' to the need to eliminate unlawful discrimination, advance quality of opportunity and foster good relations. Scottish specific duties include:

	Evidence of Due Regard
Eliminate Unlawful Discrimination (harassment, victimisation and other prohibited conduct):	Inclusive consultation and engagement to include protected characteristics groups and individuals
Advance Equality of Opportunity:	Inclusive consultation and engagement to include protected characteristics groups and individuals
Foster Good Relations (promoting understanding and reducing prejudice):	A wide range of groups use this facility. Closure will restrict ability of these groups to come together in an informal community setting.

Printed: 24/01/2024 12:20 Page: 6 of 11

SECTION SIX: PARTNERS / OTHER STAKEHOLDERS					
Which sectors are likely to have an interest in or be affected by the proposal / policy / project?		Describe the interest / affect.			
Business	No				
Councils	No				
Education Sector	No				
Fire	No				
NHS	No				
Integration Joint Board	No				
Police	No				
Third Sector	No				
Other(s): please list and describe the nature of the relationship / impact.		nere is not enough information to inform this assessment from the engagement exercise. Further is required with building users and the management committee.			

Printed: 24/01/2024 12:20 Page: 7 of 11

SECTION SEVEN: ACTION PLANNING

Mitigating Actions:

If you have identified impacts on protected characteristic groups in Section 5 please summarise these in the table below detailing the actions you are taking to mitigate or support this impact. If you are not taking any action to support or mitigate the impact you should complete the No Mitigating Actions section below instead.

To Who	Action(s)	Lead Officer	Evaluation and Review Date	Strategic Reference to Corporate Plan / Service Plan / Quality Outcomes	
Low Income Households	Prioritise the most vulnerable in this group if alternative location is required. Alternative Delivery Model – Community Asset Transfer	Place Services	01/04/2024	Council Plan: - Supporting stronger and healthier communities - Supporting a thriving economy and green transition Falkirk Plan: - Theme 1: Working in Partnership with Communities - Theme 2: Poverty	
AGE	Prioritise the most vulnerable in this group if alternative location is required. Alternative Delivery Model – Community Asset Transfer	Prioritise the most vulnerable in this group if alternative location is required. Alternative Delivery Model – Community Asset Transfer	01/04/2024	Council Plan: - Supporting stronger and healthier communities - Supporting a thriving economy and green transition Falkirk Plan: - Theme 1: Working in Partnership with Communities - Theme 2: Poverty	
	Low Income Households	Low Income Households Prioritise the most vulnerable in this group if alternative location is required. Alternative Delivery Model – Community Asset Transfer AGE Prioritise the most vulnerable in this group if alternative location is required. Alternative Delivery Model – Alternative Delivery Model –	Low Income Households Prioritise the most vulnerable in this group if alternative location is required. Alternative Delivery Model — Community Asset Transfer Prioritise the most vulnerable in this group if alternative location is required. Alternative Delivery Model — Community Asset Transfer Alternative Delivery Model — Community Asset Transfer Alternative Delivery Model — Alternative Delivery Model — Community Asset Transfer	To Who Action(s) Lead Officer and Review Date Low Income Households Prioritise the most vulnerable in this group if alternative location is required. Place Services 01/04/2024 Alternative Delivery Model – Community Asset Transfer Prioritise the most vulnerable in this group if alternative location is required. Prioritise the most vulnerable in this group if alternative location is required. 01/04/2024 Alternative Delivery Model – Community Asset Transfer Alternative Delivery Model – Community Alternative Delivery Model – Community	

No Mitigating Actions

Printed: 24/01/2024 12:20 Page: 8 of 11

Please explain why you do not need to take any action to mitigate or support the impact of your proposals.					
Are actions being reported to Members?	Yes				
If yes when and how?	Strategic Property Review reported to Members in March 2023, and an updated report to Members in 2024.				

Printed: 24/01/2024 12:20 Page: 9 of 11

SECTION EIGHT: ASSESSMENT OUTCOME					
Only one of following statements best matches your assessment of this proposal / policy / project. Please select one and provide your reasons.					
No major change i	required	No			
The proposal has to be adjusted to reduce impact on protected characteristic groups		No			
Continue with the proposal but it is not possible to remove all the risk to protected characteristic groups		Yes	Mitigation identified but further engagement required to assess and mitigate a wider equalities impact in this building.		
Stop the proposal as it is potentially in breach of equality legislation		No			
SECTION NINE: LEAD OFFICER SIGN OFF					
Lead Officer:					
Signature: Crawford Bell			Date:	22/01/2024	

Printed: 24/01/2024 12:20 Page: 10 of 11

OVERALL ASSESSMENT OF EPIA: Has the EPIA demonstrated the use of data, appropriate engagement, identified mitigating actions as well as ownership and appropriate review of actions to confidently demonstrate compliance with the general and public sector equality duties?				
ASSESSMENT FINDINGS If YES, use this box to highlight evidence in support of the assessment of the EPIA If NO, use this box to highlight actions needed to improve the EPIA		n gathering of groups who use this facility has been recorded, with function through a survey.	rther opportunity	
Where adverse impact on diverse communities has been identified and it is intended to continue with the proposal / policy / project, has justification for continuing without making changes been made?	Yes If YES, please describe: The proposed mitigations is community asset transfer or alternate delivery The impact on community needs to continue to be monitored and assessed		•	

LEVEL OF IMPACT: The EPIA Task Group has agreed the following level of impact on the protected characteristic groups highlighted within the EPIA			
LEVEL		COMMENTS	
HIGH	Yes / No		
MEDIUM	Yes	Although no survey responses were received, it is clear a wide range of groups use this hall including older and younger age groups.	
LOW	Yes / No		

SECTION ELEVEN: CHIEF OFFICER SIGN OFF

Director / Head of Service:					
Signature:	Karen Algie	Date:	24/01/2024		

Printed: 24/01/2024 12:20 Page: 11 of 11