Equality & Poverty Impact Assessment 00468 (Version 1)

| SECTION ONE: | ESSENTIAL INFORMATION | N | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|----------------------------------|---|--|--|
| Service & Division: Corporate & Housing Services | | | | Lead Officer Nam | Lead Officer Name: Crawford Bell | | | |
| | Housing & Commu | nities | | Tear | 1: Communities | | | |
| | | | | Te | H: +447483919745 | | | |
| | | | | Ema | crawford.bell@falkirk.gov | crawford.bell@falkirk.gov.uk | | |
| Proposal: | Newlands Commun | ity Hall - SPR | ty Hall - SPR Reference No: | | | | | |
| What is the Pr | oposal? | Budget & Other Financial Decision | Policy (New or Change) | | HR Policy & Practice | Change to Service Delivery / Service Design | | |
| | | Yes | No | | No | Yes | | |
| Who does the | Proposal affect? | Service Users | Members of the Public | | Employees | Job Applicants | | |
| | | Yes | Yes | | No | No | | |
| Other, please | specify: | | | | | | | |
| Identify the m | ain aims and projected ou | tcome of this proposal (please | add date o | f each update): | | | | |
| 01/04/2023 Considering closure or alternative delivery model of this commun | | | community | building. | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

Printed: 24/01/2024 12:01 Page: 1 of 13

| SECTION TWO: FINANCIAL INFORMATION | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|
| For budget changes ONLY please include info | Benchmark, e.g. Scottish Average | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Current spend on this service (£'0000s) | Total: | | | | | |
| Reduction to this service budget (£'0000s) | Per Annum: | | Financial savings detailed in the report; Strategic Property Review Update, Falkirk Council, 31st January 2024 | | | |
| Increase to this service budget (£'000s) | Per Annum: | | | | | |
| | Current Annual | | | | | |
| If this is a change to a charge or | Income Total: | | | | | |
| concession please complete. | Expected Annual Income Total: | | | | | |
| If this is a budget decision, when will the | Start Date: | | | | | |
| saving be achieved? | End Date (if any): | | | | | |

Page: 2 of 13 Printed: 24/01/2024 12:01

| SECTION THREE: EVIDENCE | Please include any evidence or relevant information that has influenced the decisions contained in this EPIA. (This could include |
|-------------------------|---|
| | demographic profiles; audits; research; health needs assessments; national guidance or legislative requirements and how this relates to the |
| | protected characteristic groups.) |

A - Quantitative Evidence

This is evidence which is numerical and should include the number people who use the service and the number of people from the protected characteristic groups who might be affected by changes to the service.

Monthly usage sample - Hall Management Committee did not provide this information. The Volume and nature of comments note a busy activity schedule and that this building has a large hall (100+) and a stage.

Slimming World, Games, weekend private parties, baby activities (business).

Of 28 survey respondents, 14 expressed they have a Protected Characteristic that disadvantaged them.

B - Qualitative Evidence

This is data which describes the effect or impact of a change on a group of people, e.g. some information provided as part of performance reporting.

Social - case studies; personal / group feedback / other

Comments from those who identify as having a Protected Characteristic:

This venue is where I run my Slimming World groups, supporting members of the local community to live healthier and more active lifestyles and positively impacting the health of Grangemouth and surrounding areas. To close this venue would not only have a significant impact on my business and income, but also leave over 100 members a week (and growing) without the support of our group. There aren't any alternative venues to use and it is a necessary lifeline for so many people.

This hall provides me with my Slimming World class which is invaluable both to me and the approx other 100 users for health and well-being

His hall is used for over 100 people in the community on Saturday mornings. It's used to help people lose weight and benefit health wise. I couldn't go further away due to mobility issues. I have lost three stones by using this. I couldn't do it on my own, I would probably put weight back on. As well as losing weight I have met lots of new friends, please don't close this facility. I've been using these facilities for more than fifty years.

My health has greatly improved by attending group in this facility, physically and mentally. My disability impairs me less since joining and the group is essential for helping me maintain the healthy lifestyle I need to maintain this benefit. There are so few suitable venues already that this will be a major loss if the venue closes. Another solution needs to be found or you risk reducing quality of life and increasing health and disability issues in the community.

Can't afford to travel to further away venues and it's too much strain on my health

I benefit greatly from attending a Slimming World group on a Saturday morning. Losing weight has improves my mental and physical health. It has enabled me to

Printed: 24/01/2024 12:01 Page: 3 of 13

meet new friends too. I also attend a Mind Body and Soul yoga group on a Wednesday evening. This again, has improved my mental and physical health and helped reduce age related joint problems. I have also met new friends through this.

I use this facility every week.

Attend a slimming world group, this has helped me lose weight, improve my health (physical and mental).

This is where my weekly group meets. The closure would adversely affect my mental and physical health.

This hall runs a Slimming World class on a Saturday morning for which there are more than 100 people attending. You close this down and where would we go?! There's nowhere left to hold group meeting. This would have a detrimental effect on so many people's diet, health and we'll being. Not to mention mental health state. This is my and many other people's only connection to the outside world. Please reconsider.

I attend this centre every Saturday morning and it would have a detrimental impact on my health if it closed.

Between my husband and I we attend 3 groups in this hall, and they have been life changing for us.

Don't close it. This venue is perfect for both activities. The parking is good. It feels safe, even at night-time and there are toilet and kitchen facilities. If you close it, you would have to offer the same facilities elsewhere.

Ensure suitable alternative venue is available.

Don't close it!

None. This hall is very well used and it makes no sense to close it.

Comments from those who do not identify as having a Protected Characteristic but have made comments on impact of closure on those who do:

It's beneficial for my son but also for me as it lets me meet other mum's for advice and support.

If this venue was to close it would have a substantial effect on our family income. We have a number of customers who greatly benefit from the class to help manage their physical and mental health.

Find the yoga classes help with the stress of working full time and being my mum's carer.

What venue can the council offer which has the capacity for over 100 people, that is easily accessible and in a central location?

| Best Judgement: | |
|--|----|
| Has best judgement been used in place of data/research/evidence? | No |
| Who provided the best judgement and what was this based on? | |

Printed: 24/01/2024 12:01 Page: 4 of 13

| What gaps in data / information were identified? | |
|--|----------|
| Is further research necessary? | Yes / No |
| If NO, please state why. | |

Printed: 24/01/2024 12:01 Page: 5 of 13

| SECTION FOUR: ENGAGEMENT Engagement | t with individual | s or organisations affected by the policy or proposal must take place | | |
|--|-------------------|---|--|--|
| Has the proposal / policy / project been subject to engagement or consultation with service users taking into account their protected characteristics and socio-economic status? | Yes | | | |
| If YES, please state who was engagement with. | 28 responden | ts in relation to Newlands Hall identifying as users and local affected residents. | | |
| event. While a | | lic events were held in libraries and schools across Falkirk during January 2023 and one online II events were open to anyone from any area, there was an event held locally to the Newlands nouth Library January 16th 2023. | | |
| | No contact or | response has been received from centre Management Committee to discuss lease or CAT | | |
| If NO engagement has been conducted, please state why. | | | | |
| How was the engagement carried out? | | What were the results from the engagement? Please list | | |
| Focus Group | No | | | |
| Survey Yes | | 28 respondents for this building. At least half of the comments relating to slimming world. | | |
| Display / Exhibitions | No | | | |
| User Panels | No | | | |
| Public Event | Yes | Grangemouth Library January 16th 2023. | | |
| interested in a | | ngaged with the Treasurer of this Hall Commitee in February. She advised the group would be an asset transfer but there is significant capacity building to be done with this committee. They le the usage information as requested and followed up on. | | |
| Has the proposal / policy/ project been reviewed / changed as a result of the engagement? | | Yes | | |
| Have the results of the engagement been fed back to the consultees? | | Yes | | |
| Is further engagement recommended? | | Yes | | |

Printed: 24/01/2024 12:01 Page: 6 of 13

SECTION FIVE: ASSESSING THE IMPACT

Equality Protected Characteristics:

What will the impact of implementing this proposal be on people who share characteristics protected by the Equality Act 2010 or are likely to be affected by the proposal / policy / project? This section allows you to consider other impacts, e.g. poverty, health inequalities, community justice, carers etc.

| Protected Characteristic | Neutral Impact | Positive Impact | Negative Impact | Please provide evidence of the impact on this protected characteristic. |
|---|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--|
| Age | | | ✓ | A wide age range of users, from elderly to early years have responded as beneficiaries. |
| Disability | | | ✓ | The impact of closure and not attending classes would increase stress, reduce mental and physical wellbeing. Weight loss has benefited one respondent managing a disability. |
| Sex | | | ✓ | Noted that this hall is seen as a safe space/venue. |
| Ethnicity | | | | |
| Religion / Belief / non-Belief | | | | |
| Sexual Orientation | | | | |
| Transgender | | | | |
| Pregnancy / Maternity | | | ✓ | Current babies group which would impact on expectant mothers. |
| Marriage / Civil Partnership | | | | |
| Poverty | | | ✓ | Comments noted it is unaffordable to travel elsewhere. |
| Care Experienced | | | | |
| Other, health, community justice, carers etc. | | | ✓ | Feedback suggests a range of vulnerable people who use this hall for activities, including carers and those with other health issues. |
| Risk (Identify other risks associated with this change) | | | • | |

Printed: 24/01/2024 12:01 Page: 7 of 13

Public Sector Equality Duty: Scottish Public Authorities must have 'due regard' to the need to eliminate unlawful discrimination, advance quality of opportunity and foster good relations. Scottish specific duties include:

| | Evidence of Due Regard |
|---|---|
| Eliminate Unlawful Discrimination (harassment, victimisation and other prohibited conduct): | Inclusive consultation and engagement to include protected characteristics groups and individuals |
| Advance Equality of Opportunity: | Inclusive consultation and engagement to include protected characteristics groups and individuals |
| Foster Good Relations (promoting understanding and reducing prejudice): | Inclusive consultation and engagement to consider impact of the closure of this facility |

Printed: 24/01/2024 12:01 Page: 8 of 13

| SECTION SIX: PARTNERS / OTHER STAKEHOLDERS | | | | | |
|--|----|--|--|--|--|
| Which sectors are likely to have an interest in or be affected by the proposal / policy / project? | | Describe the interest / affect. | | | |
| Business Yes | | Some businesses operate from this venue with at least two commenting on the negative impact on their business. | | | |
| Councils | No | | | | |
| Education Sector | No | | | | |
| Fire | No | | | | |
| NHS | No | | | | |
| Integration Joint Board | No | | | | |
| Police | No | | | | |
| Third Sector | No | | | | |
| Other(s): please list and describe the nature of the relationship / impact. | | | | | |

Printed: 24/01/2024 12:01 Page: 9 of 13

SECTION SEVEN: ACTION PLANNING

Mitigating Actions:

If you have identified impacts on protected characteristic groups in Section 5 please summarise these in the table below detailing the actions you are taking to mitigate or support this impact. If you are not taking any action to support or mitigate the impact you should complete the No Mitigating Actions section below instead.

| Identified Impact | To Who | Action(s) | Lead Officer | Evaluation and Review Date | Strategic Reference to Corporate Plan / Service Plan / Quality Outcomes |
|--|--------------------------|--|----------------|----------------------------------|---|
| Closure would have an impact on disabity | Disabled Persons | Prioritise these groups if alternative location is required. Alternative Delivery Model – Community Asset Transfer | Place Services | 01/04/2024 | Council Plan: - Supporting stronger and healthier communities - Supporting a thriving economy and green transition Falkirk Plan: - Theme 1: Working in Partnership with Communities |
| Low income households may be affected if required to travel elsewhere. | Low income Households | Consider forms of low cost transport if alternative location is required. Alternative Delivery Model – Community Asset Transfer | Place Services | 01/04/2024 | Council Plan: - Supporting stronger and healthier communities - Supporting a thriving economy and green transition Falkirk Plan: - Theme 1: Working in Partnership with Communities Theme 2: Poverty |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

No Mitigating Actions

Printed: 24/01/2024 12:01 Page: 10 of 13

| Please explain why you do not need to take any action to mitigate or support the impact of your proposals. | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Are actions being reported to Members? | Yes | | | | | | |
| If yes when and how? | Strategic Property Review reported to Members in March 2023, and an updated report to Members in 2024. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Printed: 24/01/2024 12:01 Page: 11 of 13

| SECTION EIGHT: ASSESSMENT OUTCOME | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|--|------------------|-------------------------|--|--|--|
| Only one of follow | ring statements best matches your assessment of this | s proposal / pol | icy / project. Please | e select one and provide your reasons. | | |
| No major change required | | No | | | | |
| The proposal has t | to be adjusted to reduce impact on protected ups | No | | | | |
| Continue with the to protected chara | proposal but it is not possible to remove all the risk acteristic groups | Yes | Mitigations identified. | | | |
| Stop the proposal | as it is potentially in breach of equality legislation | No | | | | |
| SECTION NINE: LEA | SECTION NINE: LEAD OFFICER SIGN OFF | | | | | |
| Lead Officer: | Lead Officer: | | | | | |
| Signature: | Crawford Bell | | Date: | 22/01/2024 | | |

Printed: 24/01/2024 12:01 Page: 12 of 13

| OVERALL AS | SSESSMENT O | | propriate revi | lata, appropriate engagement, identified mitigating actions as ew of actions to confidently demonstrate compliance with the s? | Yes | | |
|---|--|---------------------------------------|---|---|-----|--|--|
| ASSESSMENT FINDINGS If YES, use this box to highlight evidence in support of the assessment of the EPIA If NO, use this box to highlight actions needed to improve the EPIA | | | There is some evidence that closure would impact on a wide range of groups and people, specifically disabilty. However this should have been supplemented with data from the management committee on usage of the hall - this makes a proper assessment difficult | | | | |
| Where adverse impact on diverse communities has been identified and it is intended to continue with the proposal / policy / project, has justification for continuing without making changes been made? | | | Yes | If YES, please describe: There is some evidence that shows there is an impact on disablity. However the lack of data from management committee makes a full assessement difficult. The proposed mitigation of a Community Asset Transfer or alternate delivery model would need this data to continue to assess and monitor the impact of this on the community | | | |
| LEVEL OF IM | LEVEL OF IMPACT: The EPIA Task Group has agreed the following level of impact on the protected characteristic groups highlighted within the EPIA COMMENTS | | | | | | |
| HIGH | Yes | The busy schedule indicates this is a | s a well used facility which is used regularly by diverse communities. The proposed mitigation of a ernate delivery model would need further data to continue to assess and monitor the impact of this on | | | | |
| MEDIUM | Yes / No | | | | | | |

SECTION ELEVEN: CHIEF OFFICER SIGN OFF

Yes / No

LOW

SECTION TEN: EPIA TASK GROUP ONLY

| Director / Head of Service: | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|-------------|-------|------------|--|--|--|--|
| Signature: | Karen Algie | Date: | 24/01/2024 | | | | |

Printed: 24/01/2024 12:01 Page: 13 of 13