Equality & Poverty Impact Assessment 00447 (Version 1)

SECTION ONE:	ESSENTIAL INFORMATION					
Service & Divis	ion: Corporate & Housin	g Services		Lead Officer Name	Crawford Bell	
	Housing & Commun	ties		Team	Communities	
				Те	+447483919745	
				Emai	crawford.bell@falkirk.gov.uk	
Proposal:	Greenpark Community Education Centre - SPR Reference No: 00447					
What is the Proposal?		Budget & Other Financial Decision	Policy (New or Change)		HR Policy & Practice	Change to Service Delivery / Service Design
		Yes	No		No	Yes
Who does the	Proposal affect?	Service Users	Members of the Public		Employees	Job Applicants
		Yes	Yes		Yes	No
Other, please s	specify:					
Identify the ma	ain aims and projected out	come of this proposal (please	add date o	f each update):		
01/04/2023	Considering closure or alte	ernative delivery model of this	community	building.		
22/01/2024 Ongoing support to explore		re a Community Asset Transfer throughout 2023				

SECTION TWO: FINANCIAL INFORMATION				
For budget changes ONLY please include information below:			Benchmark, e.g. Scottish Average	
Current spend on this service (£'0000s)	Total:			
Reduction to this service budget (£'0000s)	Per Annum:		Financial savings detailed in the report; Strategic Property Review Update, Falkirk Council, 31st January 2024	
Increase to this service budget (£'000s)	Per Annum:			
If this is a change to a charge or	Current Annual Income Total:			
concession please complete.	Expected Annual Income Total:			
If this is a budget decision, when will the	Start Date:			
saving be achieved?	End Date (if any):			

SECTION THREE: EVIDENCE	Please include any evidence or relevant information that has influenced the decisions contained in this EPIA. (This could include demographic profiles; audits; research; health needs assessments; national guidance or legislative requirements and how this relates to the protected characteristic groups.)
A - Quantitative Evidence	This is evidence which is numerical and should include the number people who use the service and the number of people from the protected characteristic groups who might be affected by changes to the service.
Monthly usage sample - 213	3 users over 4 weeks.
	roup, Coffee Club, Wee Poetry Group, Carpet Bowlers, Polmont Playgroup, Line Dancing, / Slice of Art Club, Polmnt Quilters, Hobby Guides meetings, card making classes, Club Finances, Mainly Music Group, Bridge Group, Polmont Ramblers, coffee lounge, Home Club.
Private sector: Judo, Fitnes	s, Fencing Club, Dance Dreams, Aerobics, Yoga, Sequence Dancers, Karate Club, Tai-Chi, political group.
Public sector: Councillor Su	gery.
Religious Groups: 2.	
B - Qualitative Evidence	This is data which describes the effect or impact of a change on a group of people, e.g. some information provided as part of performance reporting.
Social - case studies; person	al / group feedback / other
Comments from those who	identify as having a Protected Characteristic:
adequate parking and the fa	riters' Circle and we have used the Greenpark Centre for a number of years now for our regular weekly meetings. It is convenient, ha cilities within the Greenpark Centre suit our requirements admirably. Members come from Falkirk, Grangemouth, Bo'ness, Polmont, eenpark offers a convenient central facility. The Centre itself is used by a number of other community groups so the loss would be of Il these groups.
•	modern purpose-built building in good condition. It is used by a widely-differing range of organisations seven days a week, with etimes using the building's accommodation simultaneously. There is no viable alternative in or near Polmont.
The Greenpark Centre requi	res at the very least paid janitorial staff to enable it to function; and a volunteer management group is not the correct agency to

The Greenpark Centre requires at the very least paid janitorial staff to enable it to function; and a volunteer management group is not the correct agency to employ staff.

The classes I attend are beneficial to my general well being and mental health

We use regularly use the facility. My child has dance classes here. A local playgroup is situated here

You are talking about closing down the centre which has housed Polmont playgroup for a long time. Staff, kids and parents rely on the playgroup being open in the grounds of the centre.

This facility has no equal within the area in providing multi user accommodation, viz apartments of different sizes, layouts, and facility.

This facility is in the heart of the community, is well used and has large enough rooms to accommodate our class size with facilities that are clean comfortable and allow us to have tea, coffee etc. the room is prepared for us beforehand. The staff are very helpful.

Our group use the facilities weekly throughout the year. It is a central meeting place for us where we can carry out our hobby in warm friendly surroundings and get the important mental stimulation through socialising and carrying out our hobby - very important with our ages.

There are a variety of users to this facility. Arts/ crafts, Pilates, Yoga, exercise programmes of which I do several. This is a very central facility with good car parking, kitchens, office space and is easily accessible.

Polmont Quakers have used the Community Centre every Sunday for over 35 years in order to worship together.

I attend the Probus club. There are very few facilities for older members of the community especially during the winter, without further cuts.

My son attends Polmont playgroup which has been serving our local community for 50 years and use this facility for playgroup. We live this place as a family and would be massively negatively impacted if this were to be shut down.

Polmont Playgroup reside permanently in the Greenpark Centre and have serviced the community for 50 years. It wouldn't be practically possible to run the playgroup if the setting was used for other purposes.

The Greenpark centre seems to be constantly booked with various groups and the grounds/parking around the centre are unique, not many comm centres have this ground available to usage. It would be a shame to see this close.

The Community Centre in Polmont also houses a playgroup which is used by children from the surrounding area. It is used by people not just from the immediate area but covers young and old from Linlithgow, Bo'ness and Grangemouth etc. There is a large cluster of homes being built within 500 yards of the centre which is intended for vulnerable people and also includes social housing. The community centre will provide a much needed social hub for them and particularly the young and old who have been so badly affected by the upheavals of the last few years. The mental health of particularly the old who have little in the way of outside activities is one area that is becoming more important important

The Polmont Playgroup is hosted at Greenpark Community Education Centre and is a much loved and important childcare facility locally. My son attends and loves it. The venue is great and presumably one of the reasons it is affordable is that the space is at a good price. I also attended yoga classes at the centre for years, which was a great boost to health and mental health. The community Council also meets there and countless groups for the community. It would be a significant loss to close this building.

I use several of the activities organised by the centre. Ramblers group, Horticultural society, Exercise classes.

The closure of the building would have an impact on as it is our primary place to meet on a Sunday as well as many ongoing community events - including a mum and tots group(mainly music) run each week from the centre.

Comments from those who do not identify as having a Protected Characteristic but have made comments on impact of closure on those who do:

Closure of the playgroup would be removing 2 hours a day worth of childcare Monday-Friday.

"Green Park Centre is used by Polmont playgroup. They provide vital childcare for parents in the area who wouldn't be able to work without the service. The playgroup is always full and runs a waiting list.

The community relies on this is a vital resource to tackle isolation and loneliness, promote physical activity and positive mental health.

I can't drive and have mobility issues so this facility has been a lifeline for me and my family in order to provide the children with opportunities and me with social contact with others - helping my mental health.

Due to the age of most of the participants, mainly retirees and the ease of locale of Greenpark Centre there would simply be no other alternative if the centre closes. The group encourages and promotes exercise which could have a severe impact on the health of the participants if no longer available.

Best Judgement:	
Has best judgement been used in place of data/research/evidence?	No
Who provided the best judgement and what was this based on?	
What gaps in data / information were identified?	
Is further research necessary?	Yes / No
If NO, please state why.	

Has the proposal / policy / project been subject to engagement or consultation with service users taking into account their protected characteristics and socio-economic status?	Yes	
If YES, please state who was engagement with.	70 Responder	ts in relation to Greenpark Community Centre identifying as users and local affected residents.
	A series of pul event.	plic events were held in libraries and schools across Falkirk during January 2023 and one online
	Ongoing supp	ort to explore a CAT throughout 2023
If NO engagement has been conducted, please state why.		
How was the engagement carried out?		What were the results from the engagement? Please list
Focus Group	No	
Survey	Yes	70 respondents for this building.
Display / Exhibitions	No	
User Panels	No	
Public Event	Yes	Braes Highschool January 10th 2023.
Other: please specify		
Has the proposal / policy/ project been reviewed a result of the engagement?	I / changed as	Yes
Have the results of the engagement been fed bac consultees?	ck to the	Yes
Is further engagement recommended?		Yes

SECTION FIVE: ASSESSING THE IMPACT

Equality Protected Characteristics:

What will the impact of implementing this proposal be on people who share characteristics protected by the Equality Act 2010 or are likely to be affected by the proposal / policy / project? This section allows you to consider other impacts, e.g. poverty, health inequalities, community justice, carers etc.

Protected Characteristic	Neutral Impact	Positive Impact	Negative Impact	Please provide evidence of the impact on this protected characteristic.	
Age			\checkmark	Children attend a playgroup in the building, older persons attend activities in this building.	
Disability			√	A disabled respondent noted closure would result in a reduction in their wellbeing and mental health.	
Sex				This centre provides 2 hrs of childcare per day for families-removal of children generally impacts more on women than on men	
Ethnicity					
Religion / Belief / non-Belief			✓	A faith based group regularly meets in the centre	
Sexual Orientation					
Transgender					
Pregnancy / Maternity					
Marriage / Civil Partnership					
Poverty					
Care Experienced					
Other, health, community justice, carers etc.					
Risk (Identify other risks associated with this change)	Further engagement to better understand the impact on persons with Protected Characteristics is required to fully complete this assessment. User respondents provided very few comments about the impact on them should the building closed.				

Public Sector Equality Duty: Scottish Public Authorities must have 'due regard' to the need to eliminate unlawful discrimination, advance quality of opportunity and foster good relations. Scottish specific duties include:

	Evidence of Due Regard
Eliminate Unlawful Discrimination (harassment, victimisation and other prohibited conduct):	Inclusive consultation and engagement to include protected characteristics groups and individuals
Advance Equality of Opportunity:	This centre is used by a wide range of groups and supports a wide range of activities including childcare and a faith based group, closure would restrict the ability of those groups to worship and to reach full potential.
Foster Good Relations (promoting understanding and reducing prejudice):	Closure of this facility will restrict the ability of a wide range of groups to meet in an informal community setting.

SECTION SIX: PARTNERS / OTHER STAKEHOLDEF	RS	
Which sectors are likely to have an interest in or be affected by the proposal / policy / project?		Describe the interest / affect.
Business	Yes	Private Businesses operate from this venue.
Councils	Yes	Councillor's Surgery.
Education Sector	No	
Fire	No	
NHS No Integration Joint Board No		
Police No		
Third Sector	Yes	Possible Positive impact - This is a high occupancy building. Alternative delivery model could create a third sector social enterprise business model for this building with the potential to grow the third sector in many ways, employment, size, income, social benefits, community leadership role.
Other(s): please list and describe the nature of the relationship / impact.	The Arts - an	arts group meets here - 'Important meeting place for our art group'.

tak		mpacts on protected characteristic groups in Sec upport this impact. If you are not taking any action instead.			
Identified Impact	To Who	Action(s)	Lead Officer	Evaluation and Review Date	Strategic Reference to Corporate Plan / Service Plan / Quality Outcomes
Disabled persons attending services in the building would be impacted by its closure	Disability	Prioritise these groups if alternative location is required. Alternative Delivery Model – Community Asset Transfer	Place Services	01/04/2024	Council Plan: - Supporting stronger and healthier communities - Supporting a thriving economy and green transition Falkirk Plan: - Theme 1: Working in Partnership with Communities
No Mitigating Actions			I		
Please explain why you d	o not need to take	any action to mitigate or support the impac	ct of your proposals.		

Strategic Property Review reported to Members in March 2023, and an updated report to Members in 2024.

SECTION EIGHT: ASSESSMENT OUTCOME

No major change required	No	
The proposal has to be adjusted to reduce impact on protected characteristic groups	No	
Continue with the proposal but it is not possible to remove all the risk to protected characteristic groups	Yes	Mitigations identified based on current but limited information available. Further research is required to complete this assessment fully.
Stop the proposal as it is potentially in breach of equality legislation	No	

Signature:	Crawford Bell	Date:	22/01/2024

OVERALL ASSESSMENT OF EPIA:	Has the EPIA demonstrated the use of data, appropriate engagement, identified mitigating actions as well as ownership and appropriate review of actions to confidently demonstrate compliance with the general and public sector equality duties?				
ASSESSMENT FINDINGS If YES, use this box to highlight evi assessment of the EPIA If NO, use this box to highlight act the EPIA		-	gathering of groups who use this facility has been recorded, with fu on through a survey.	rther opportunity	
Where adverse impact on diverse communities has been identified and it is intended to continue with the proposal / policy / project, has justification for continuing <u>without</u> <u>making changes been made</u> ?		Yes	If YES, please describe: The proposal for mitigation is that groups are prioritised for anot is an alternate model of delivery or a Community Asset transfer. for mitigation is developed the impacts on the community need t monitored and assessed	As the proposal	
LEVEL OF IMPACT: The EPIA Task	Group has agreed the follow	ving level of im	ppact on the protected characteristic groups highlighted within th	e EPIA	
LEVEL COMM	ENTS				

пюп	res / NO	
MEDIUM	Yes	This building is used by a wide range of groups that would be affected by closure, older people, people with disability, childcare, children and young people and a faith based group.
LOW	Yes / No	

SECTION ELEVEN: CHIEF OFFICER SIGN OFF

Director / He	ad of Service:			
Signature:	Karen Algie	Date:	24/01/2024	