Equality & Poverty Impact Assessment 00680 (Version 1)

SECTION ONE: ESSENTIAL INFORMATION					
Service & Division:		Lead Officer Name:	Carol Whyte		
	Growth, Planning & Climate	Team:	Sport & Leisure		
		Tel:	07872828983		
		Email:	carol.whyte@falkirk.gov.uk		
Proposal:	Callendar Park Kiosk & Toilet - Alternative Delivery Model	Reference No:			

What is the Proposal?	Budget & Other Financial Decision	Policy HR Policy & Practice (New or Change)		Change to Service Delivery / Service Design	
	Yes	No	No	Yes	
Who does the Proposal affect?	Service Users	Members of the Public	Employees	Job Applicants	
	Yes	Yes	No	No	
Other, please specify:					

Identify the main aims and projected outcome of this proposal (please add date of each update): 17/01/2024 Following the successful tender process The tender to operate the Kiosk and Toilets was awarded on 1/8/23 for 5 year period The council receives payment of £110k over the period of the contract. The contactor is committed to £140k investment into the facilities over the duration of the contract. The contractor is responsible for all operating costs including repairs and maintenance of the Kiosk and Toilets. The council has responsibility to maintain mains plumbing.

Printed: 22/01/2024 12:45 Page: 1 of 11

SECTION TWO: FINANCIAL INFORMATION					
For budget changes ONLY please include information below:			Benchmark, e.g. Scottish Average		
Current spend on this service (£'0000s)	Total:				
Reduction to this service budget (£'0000s)	Per Annum:				
Increase to this service budget (£'000s)	Per Annum:				
If this is a change to a charge or	Current Annual Income Total:				
concession please complete.	Expected Annual Income Total:	YR 1 = £10k YR 2 & 3 = £20k YR 4 & = £30k	The council receives payment of £110k over the period of the contract.		
If this is a budget decision, when will the	Start Date:				

End Date (if any):

saving be achieved?

Printed: 22/01/2024 12:45 Page: 2 of 11

SECTION THREE: E	VIDENCE	Please include any evidence or relevant information that has influenced the decisions contained in this EPIA. (This could include
		demographic profiles; audits; research; health needs assessments; national guidance or legislative requirements and how this relates to the
		protected characteristic groups.)

A - Quantitative Evidence This is evidence which is numerical and should include the number people who use the service and the number of people from the protected characteristic groups who might be affected by changes to the service.

No user data available for this venue.

B - Qualitative Evidence

This is data which describes the effect or impact of a change on a group of people, e.g. some information provided as part of performance reporting.

Social - case studies; personal / group feedback / other

Improved customer experience for visitors to the park.

Contractor investment will improve disability access to kiosk.

Contractor Investment in animation (bouncy castles, crazy golf) and additional seating will improve the customer experience for all park users including people with protected characteristics.

Extended opening hours of toilets.

Contractor will facilitate community fundraising events, offering some activities free of charge.

Best Judgement:	
Has best judgement been used in place of data/research/evidence?	Yes
Who provided the best judgement and what was this based on?	Officers experience of managing similar contracts in Zetland Park and Muiravonside Country Park.
What gaps in data / information were identified?	
Is further research necessary?	No

Printed: 22/01/2024 12:45 Page: 3 of 11

Tender awarded August 2023

Printed: 22/01/2024 12:45 Page: 4 of 11

SECTION FOUR: ENGAGEMENT Engagemen	t with individua	ls or organisations affected by the policy or proposal must take place
Has the proposal / policy / project been subject to engagement or consultation with service users taking into account their protected characteristics and socio-economic status?	No	
If YES, please state who was engagement with.		
If NO engagement has been conducted, please state why.	Following the	successful tender process The tender to operate the Kiosk and Toilets was awarded.
How was the engagement carried out?		What were the results from the engagement? Please list
Focus Group	No	
Survey	No	
Display / Exhibitions	No	
User Panels	No	
Public Event	No	
Other: please specify		
Has the proposal / policy/ project been reviewed a result of the engagement?	d / changed as	No
Have the results of the engagement been fed back to the consultees?		No
Is further engagement recommended?		No

Printed: 22/01/2024 12:45 Page: 5 of 11

SECTION FIVE: ASSESSING THE IMPACT

Equality Protected Characteristics:

What will the impact of implementing this proposal be on people who share characteristics protected by the Equality Act 2010 or are likely to be affected by the proposal / policy / project? This section allows you to consider other impacts, e.g. poverty, health inequalities, community justice, carers etc.

Protected Characteristic	Neutral Impact	Positive Impact	Negative Impact	Please provide evidence of the impact on this protected characteristic.
Age		✓		Contractor Investment in animation (bouncy castles, crazy golf) and additional seating will improve the customer experience for all park users including people with protected characteristics.
Disability		✓		Contractor investment will improve disability access to kiosk. Extended opening hours of toilets.
Sex		✓		Improved customer experience for visitors to the park. Extended opening hours of toilets.
Ethnicity		✓		Improved customer experience for visitors to the park.
Religion / Belief / non-Belief		✓		Improved customer experience for visitors to the park.
Sexual Orientation		✓		Improved customer experience for visitors to the park.
Transgender		✓		Improved customer experience for visitors to the park.
Pregnancy / Maternity		✓		Improved customer experience for visitors to the park. Extended opening hours of toilets.
Marriage / Civil Partnership		✓		Improved customer experience for visitors to the park.
Poverty		✓		Contractor will facilitate community fundraising events, offering some activities free of charge.
Care Experienced		✓		Contractor will facilitate community fundraising events, offering some activities free of charge.
Other, health, community justice, carers etc.		✓		Contractor will facilitate community fundraising events, offering some activities free of charge.
Risk (Identify other risks associated with this change)			,	

Printed: 22/01/2024 12:45 Page: 6 of 11

Public Sector Equality Duty: Scottish Public Authorities must have 'due regard' to the need to eliminate unlawful discrimination, advance quality of opportunity and foster good relations. Scottish specific duties include:

	Evidence of Due Regard
Eliminate Unlawful Discrimination (harassment, victimisation and other prohibited conduct):	The proposed works will bring a number of improvements to various groups as noted in the impact assessment above.
Advance Equality of Opportunity:	As above, the proposed works will bring a number of improvements to various groups as noted in the impact assessment above.
Foster Good Relations (promoting understanding and reducing prejudice):	The improvements for the various protected characteristics are considerate of the need to embed additional support needs provision within a mainstream setting.

Printed: 22/01/2024 12:45 Page: 7 of 11

SECTION SIX: PARTNERS / OTHER STAKEHOLDERS				
Which sectors are likely to have an interest in or be affected by the proposal / policy / project?		Describe the interest / affect.		
Business	Yes	Tender process identified opportunities for local business to engage with the successful supplier to provide services to the community.		
Councils	No			
Education Sector	No			
Fire	No			
NHS	No			
Integration Joint Board	No			
Police	No			
Third Sector	No			
Other(s): please list and describe the nature of the relationship / impact.				

Printed: 22/01/2024 12:45 Page: 8 of 11

SECTION SEVEN: ACTION PLANNING

Mitigating Actions:

If you have identified impacts on protected characteristic groups in Section 5 please summarise these in the table below detailing the actions you are taking to mitigate or support this impact. If you are not taking any action to support or mitigate the impact you should complete the No Mitigating Actions section below instead.

Identified Impact	To Who	Action(s)	Lead Officer	and Review	Strategic Reference to Corporate Plan / Service Plan / Quality Outcomes

No Mitigating Actions

Please explain why you	do not need to take any a	action to mitigate or supp	ort the impact of your	proposals.
------------------------	---------------------------	----------------------------	------------------------	------------

No negative impacts identified.

Are actions being reported to Members?	Yes
If yes when and how ?	Council previously informed of tender award and lease arrangements Council meeting 31st March 2024 SPR update report presented to elected members.

Printed: 22/01/2024 12:45 Page: 9 of 11

SECTION EIGHT: ASSESSMENT OUTCOME									
Only one of following statements best matches your assessment of this proposal / policy / project. Please select one and provide your reasons.									
No major change required		Yes	No negative impa	cts identified.					
The proposal has to be adjusted to reduce impact on protected characteristic groups		No							
Continue with the proposal but it is not possible to remove all the risk to protected characteristic groups		No							
Stop the proposal	as it is potentially in breach of equality legislation	No							
SECTION NINE: LEAD OFFICER SIGN OFF									
Lead Officer:									
Signature:	: Carol Whyte		Date:	17/01/2024					

Printed: 22/01/2024 12:45 Page: 10 of 11

SECTION TEN: EPIA TASK GROUP ONLY									
			well as ownership and ap	ed the use of data, appropriate engagement, identified mitigating actions as propriate review of actions to confidently demonstrate compliance with the equality duties?					
ASSESSMENT FINDINGS				Whilst engagement was not undertaken for this proposal, the design considerations included in the tender specification are reflective of current standards to improve accessibility overall.					
If YES, use this box to highlight evidence in support of the assessment of the EPIA				,					
If NO, use this box to highlight actions needed to improve the EPIA									
Where adverse impact on diverse communities has been identified and it is intended to continue with the proposal / policy / project, has justification for continuing without making changes been made?				Yes / No	If YES, please describe: N/A				
LEVEL OF IMPACT: The EPIA Task Group has agreed the following level of impact on the protected characteristic groups highlighted within the EPIA									
LEVEL COMMENTS									
HIGH	Yes / No								
MEDIUM	Yes / No								
LOW	Yes	No negative impacts identified.							
SECTION ELEVEN: CHIEF OFFICER SIGN OFF									
Director / Head of Service:									

Printed: 22/01/2024 12:45 Page: 11 of 11

22/01/2024

Date:

Signature:

Michael McGuinness