## Equality & Poverty Impact Assessment 00445 (Version 1)

SECTION ONE:	ESSENTIAL INFORMATION						
Service & Divis				Lead Officer Name	Kenny McNeill		
	Planning & Resource	ces		Team	Planning & Resources		
				Tel	: 01324506652		
				Email	kenny.mcneill@falkirk.go	v.uk	
Proposal:	Closure of Blacknes	public convenience Reference No:		:			
What is the Proposal?		Budget & Other Financial Decision	Policy (New or Change)		HR Policy & Practice	Change to Service Delivery / Service Design	
		Yes	No		No	Yes	
Who does the	Proposal affect?	Service Users	Members of the Public		Employees	Job Applicants	
		Yes	Yes		Yes	No	
Other, please s	specify:						
Identify the ma	ain aims and projected out	tcome of this proposal (please	add date o	f each update):			
17/02/2023	To achieve a budget savin	g of £35k					
17/02/2023	23 To ensure an equitable approach with other areas that no longer have a public convenience provision						
19/01/2024	/2024 No expressions of interest in CAT have been submitted. Proposed mitigations are that members of the public will require to use alternative facilities as noted in the action plan.					uire to use alternative nearby	

SECTION TWO: FINANCIAL INFORMATION					
For budget changes ONLY please include infor	Benchmark, e.g. Scottish Average				
Current spend on this service (£'0000s)	Actual current costs				
Reduction to this service budget (£'0000s)	Per Annum:	£35	Cease actual costs		
Increase to this service budget (£'000s)	Per Annum:	Nil			
If this is a change to a charge or	Current Annual Income Total:	Nil			
concession please complete.	Expected Annual Income Total:	Nil			
If this is a budget decision, when will the	Start Date:	01/08/2023			
saving be achieved?	End Date (if any):	31/03/2024			

SECTION THREE: EVIDENCE	Please include any evidence or relevant information that has influenced the decisions contained in this EPIA. (This could include demographic profiles; audits; research; health needs assessments; national guidance or legislative requirements and how this relates to the protected characteristic groups.)					
A - Quantitative Evidence	This is evidence which is numerical and should include the number people who use the service and the number of people from the protected characteristic groups who might be affected by changes to the service.					
There are no performance re	ports produced to demonstrate usage of the p	premises.				
B - Qualitative Evidence	This is data which describes the effect or impac reporting.	ct of a change on a group of people, e.g. some information provided as part of performance				
Social - case studies; persona	I / group feedback / other					
There is no statutory require	ment to provide this pubic convenience.					
-	le the facility to meet charging standards. The	enience as this would involve the installation of barriers, income management and costs out way the benefits and the premises could be targeted for theft (cash if				
This is the last remaining pub	lic convenience in the Falkirk Council area.					
The provision is free at point	of access so there is no record of usage levels					
The provision is near to the J	ohn Muir Way and is used by walkers/ tourists	5.				
Best Judgement:	Best Judgement:					
Has best judgement been used in place of data/research/evidence? Yes						
Who provided the best judge	ment and what was this based on?	View of officers.				
What gaps in data / informat	ion were identified?	We do not have specific data relating to usage.				
Is further research necessary	?	No				
If NO, please state why.		Unable to obtain usage data and potential impacts can be inferred.				

Has the proposal / policy / project been subject to engagement or consultation with service users taking into account their protected characteristics and socio-economic status?	No				
If YES, please state who was engagement with.					
		s submitted as part of the service budget savings options. et trade unions in regard to the staffing implications.			
How was the engagement carried out?		What were the results from the engagement? Please list			
Focus Group	Yes	Trade unions - February 2023			
Survey	No				
Display / Exhibitions	No				
User Panels	No				
Public Event	No				
Other: please specify					
Has the proposal / policy/ project been reviewed / changed as a result of the engagement?		No			
Have the results of the engagement been fed back to the consultees?		No			
Is further engagement recommended?		No			

## SECTION FIVE: ASSESSING THE IMPACT

Equality Protected Characteristics:

What will the impact of implementing this proposal be on people who share characteristics protected by the Equality Act 2010 or are likely to be affected by the proposal / policy / project? This section allows you to consider other impacts, e.g. poverty, health inequalities, community justice, carers etc.

Protected Characteristic	Neutral Impact	Positive Impact	Negative Impact	Please provide evidence of the impact on this protected characteristic.
Age			~	Although no specific data available to the Council, it is widely understood that older and younger people are more likely to need to use public conveniences.
Disability			1	Although no specific data available to the Council, it is widely understood that people with additional support needs and their carers are more likely to need to use public conveniences. The UK government has taken a number of steps recently to increase provision of 'Changing Places' toilets for disabled people who cannot use standard accessible toilets. The nearest adapted toilets are at Tesco, Link Road, Bo'ness EH51 9AN.
Sex			~	While men can then use both cubicles and urinals, women can only use the former, and women also need safe spaces given their particular health and sanitary needs (for example, women who are menstruating, pregnant or at menopause, may need to use the toilet more often).
Ethnicity				
Religion / Belief / non-Belief				
Sexual Orientation				
Transgender				
Pregnancy / Maternity			✓	Pregnant women are more likely to need to use public conveniences due to menstruation, bladder issues brought on by pregnancy and childbirth.
Marriage / Civil Partnership				
Poverty				
Care Experienced				
Other, health, community justice, carers etc.			~	The nearby John Muir Way is a long distance walking route accessible to as many people as possible. Walkers may need to use the public convenience at this stage of the route.

Public Sector Equality Duty: Scottish Public Authorities must have 'due regard' to the need to eliminate unlawful discrimination, advance quality of opportunity and foster good relations. Scottish specific duties include:

	Evidence of Due Regard
Eliminate Unlawful Discrimination (harassment, victimisation and other prohibited conduct):	Toilets, both in municipal and private sector locations, are an important facility for members of the public, in particular, women, those with children, older people and disabled people. Closure of the facility is likely to impact these groups more than others.
Advance Equality of Opportunity:	Closing this facility without any mitigation means that women, younger and older people and people with a disability less likely to visit this area and to participate in outdoor activities or tourism.
Foster Good Relations (promoting understanding and reducing prejudice):	Engagement with service users has not been undertaken however the proposal to close the facility does not provide an opportunity to foster good relations between groups.

SECTION SIX: PARTNERS / OTHER STAKEHOLDERS						
Which sectors are likely to have an interest in or be affected by the proposal / policy / project?		Describe the interest / affect.				
Business	Yes	The proposal may indirectly impact on demand for use of toilets in local businesses. Some business may see this as a benefit to increase footfall, others may not. Taxi drivers are thought to use the public convenience so an alternative would need to be found by them.				
Councils	No					
Education Sector	No					
Fire	No					
NHS	No					
Integration Joint Board	No					
Police	Yes	The proposed closure will reduce vandalism of the premises but may increase public urination nuisance in the area.				
Third Sector	No					
Other(s): please list and describe the nature of the relationship / impact.	This could be	an opportunity for community transfer or for some other group to provide this service.				

taki		acts on protected characteristic groups in Sec ort this impact. If you are not taking any action ead.					
Identified Impact	To Who	Action(s)	Lead Officer	Evaluation and Review Date	Strategic Reference to Corporate Plan / Service Plan / Quality Outcomes		
Source alternative provision	Community	Explore the option of community transfer	Place Services	01/04/2023			
Provide directions to the nearest public toilets	Service users	Erect signage directing service users to nearest alternative facilities	Place Services	01/04/2023			
Source alternative provision	Service users/ walkers/ tourists	Use of Blackness Castle owned by Historic Scotland	Place Services	01/04/2023			
No Mitigating Actions Please explain why you do	o not need to take an	y action to mitigate or support the impac	t of your proposals.				
Are actions being reported	d to Members?	Yes					
If yes when and how ?		Yes SPR Update January 2024					

## SECTION EIGHT: ASSESSMENT OUTCOME

Only one of following statements best matches your assessment of this proposal / policy / project. Please select one and provide your reasons.						
No major change	required	No				
The proposal has characteristic gro	to be adjusted to reduce impact on protected oups	No				
	e proposal but it is not possible to remove all the risk racteristic groups	Yes	The proposal is to close this facility with the limited mitigations noted.			
Stop the proposa	I as it is potentially in breach of equality legislation	No				
SECTION NINE: L	SECTION NINE: LEAD OFFICER SIGN OFF					
Lead Officer:						
Signature:	Kenny McNeill		Date:	17/02/2023		

OVERALL AS	SESSMENT OF	EPIA: Has the EPIA demonstrated the use of data, appropriate engagement, identified mitigating actions as well as ownership and appropriate review of actions to confidently demonstrate compliance with the general and public sector equality duties? No					
ASSESSMENT FINDINGS If YES, use this box to highlight evidence in support of the assessment of the EPIA If NO, use this box to highlight actions needed to improve the EPIA			There is very little actual data about the usuage of this public convenience. however it is widely accepted that women, older and younger people, pregant women and people with a disablity more like to need to use public conveniences				
Where adverse impact on diverse communities has been identified and it is intended to continue with the proposal / policy / project, has justification for continuing <u>without</u> <u>making changes been made</u> ?			Yes	If YES, please describe: Some mitigations have been proposed - however the impact of these on those who are impacted should continue to be assessed and monitored : these mitigations are providing signage to nearest public toilet (EPIA also says there are no public toilets in the Falkirk Council area) and asking Historic Scotland if members of the public can use facilities designated for visitors to Blackness Castle. The impact of each of these options should continue to be monitored and assessed if toilet closed			
LEVEL OF IN	IPACT: The EPI	A Task Group has agreed the follow	ving level of	f impact on the protected characteristic groups highlighted within th	e EPIA		
LEVEL COMMENTS							
HIGH	Yes / No						
MEDIUM	Yes	There is no data on the actual usage of toilets but there likely to be an impact on a number of groups.					
LOW	Yes / No						

Director / Head of Service:						
Signature:	Karen Algie	Date:	24/01/2024			