# **Equality & Poverty Impact Assessment 00457 (Version 1)**

SECTION ONE: ESSENTIAL INFORMATION				
	vice & Division: Corporate & Housing Services Housing & Communities	Lead Officer Name:	Crawford Bell	
		Team:	Communities	
		Tel:	+447483919745	
		Email:	crawford.bell@falkirk.gov.uk	
Proposal:	Banknock Community Hall - SPR	Reference No:	457	

What is the Proposal?	Budget & Other Financial Decision	Policy (New or Change)	HR Policy & Practice	Change to Service Delivery / Service Design	
	Yes	No	No	Yes	
Who does the Proposal affect?	Service Users	Members of the Public	Employees	Job Applicants	
	Yes	Yes	No	No	
Other, please specify:					

Identify the m	Identify the main aims and projected outcome of this proposal (please add date of each update):				
01/04/2023	Considering closure or alternative delivery model of this community building.				
22/01/2024 Ongoing support to explore a Community Asset Transfer throughout 2023					

Printed: 24/01/2024 12:03 Page: 1 of 11

SECTION TWO: FINANCIAL INFORMATION				
For budget changes ONLY please include information below:			Benchmark, e.g. Scottish Average	
Current spend on this service (£'0000s)	Total:			
Reduction to this service budget (£'0000s)	Per Annum:		Financial savings detailed in the report; Strategic Property Review Update, Falkirk Council, 31st January 2024	
Increase to this service budget (£'000s)	Per Annum:			
If this is a change to a charge or concession please complete.	Current Annual Income Total:			
	Expected Annual Income Total:			
If this is a budget decision, when will the	Start Date:			

End Date (if any):

saving be achieved?

Printed: 24/01/2024 12:03 Page: 2 of 11

<b>SECTION THREE: EVIDENCE</b>	Please include any evidence or relevant information that has influenced the decisions contained in this EPIA. (This could include
	demographic profiles; audits; research; health needs assessments; national guidance or legislative requirements and how this relates to the
	protected characteristic groups.)

# A - Quantitative Evidence This is evidence which is numerical and should include the number people who use the service and the number of people from the protected characteristic groups who might be affected by changes to the service.

Monthly usage sample - 411 users.

Councillors surgery, nifty fiftys, dog training, dance class, xmas and private parties.

Of 2 survey respondents, 1 expressed they have a protected Characteristic that disadvantaged them.

# B - Qualitative Evidence This is data which describes the effect or impact of a change on a group of people, e.g. some information provided as part of performance reporting.

#### Social - case studies; personal / group feedback / other

"We are a group of elderly people who meet in the hall and engage in a range activities. This could be the only time members of the group get out of the house and interact with other people. If this and other facilities close I feel this could impact on people's mental health." Keep Hall Open.

Best Judgement:	
Has best judgement been used in place of data/research/evidence?	No
Who provided the best judgement and what was this based on?	
What gaps in data / information were identified?	
Is further research necessary?	Yes / No
If NO, please state why.	

Printed: 24/01/2024 12:03 Page: 3 of 11

SECTION FOUR: ENGAGEMENT Engagemen	t with individua	s or organisations affected by the policy or proposal must take place
Has the proposal / policy / project been subject to engagement or consultation with service users taking into account their protected characteristics and socio-economic status?		
If YES, please state who was engagement with.	2 Respondent	s in relation to Banknock Hall identifying as users and local affected residents.
	A series of pul event.	olic events were held in libraries and schools across Falkirk during January 2023 and one online
	Ongoing supp	ort to explore a CAT throughout 2023
If NO engagement has been conducted, please state why.		
How was the engagement carried out?		What were the results from the engagement? Please list
Focus Group	No	
Survey	Yes	2 respondents relating to this building.
Display / Exhibitions	No	
User Panels	No	
Public Event Yes		A series of public events were held in libraries and schools across Falkirk during January 2023 and one online event.
Other: please specify	We have been in discussion with the Management Committee of this Hall as they had expressed an interest in an asset transfer prior to the SPR process commencing.	
Has the proposal / policy/ project been reviewed / changed as a result of the engagement?		Yes
Have the results of the engagement been fed bacconsultees?	ck to the	Yes
Is further engagement recommended?		Yes

Printed: 24/01/2024 12:03 Page: 4 of 11

#### SECTION FIVE: ASSESSING THE IMPACT

**Equality Protected Characteristics:** 

What will the impact of implementing this proposal be on people who share characteristics protected by the Equality Act 2010 or are likely to be affected by the proposal / policy / project? This section allows you to consider other impacts, e.g. poverty, health inequalities, community justice, carers etc.

Protected Characteristic	Neutral Impact	Positive Impact	Negative Impact	Please provide evidence of the impact on this protected characteristic.
Age			✓	Respondent stated closure would affect their mental health.
Disability				
Sex				
Ethnicity				
Religion / Belief / non-Belief				
Sexual Orientation				
Transgender				
Pregnancy / Maternity				
Marriage / Civil Partnership				
Poverty				
Care Experienced				
Other, health, community justice, carers etc.				
Risk (Identify other risks associated with this change)		1	1	,

Printed: 24/01/2024 12:03 Page: 5 of 11

Public Sector Equality Duty: Scottish Public Authorities must have 'due regard' to the need to eliminate unlawful discrimination, advance quality of opportunity and foster good relations. Scottish specific duties include:

	Evidence of Due Regard
Eliminate Unlawful Discrimination (harassment, victimisation and other prohibited conduct):	Inclusive consultation and engagement to include protected characteristics groups and individuals
Advance Equality of Opportunity:	This would reduce equality of opportunity for older people who have expressed the view that this is the only place they attend apart from own home.
Foster Good Relations (promoting understanding and reducing prejudice):	Closure would negatively impact on the opportunity for people to come together in an informal community setting.

Printed: 24/01/2024 12:03 Page: 6 of 11

SECTION SIX: PARTNERS / OTHER STAKEHOLDERS			
Which sectors are likely to have an interest in or be affected by the proposal / policy / project?		Describe the interest / affect.	
Business	Yes	A few small businesses operate from the building.	
Councils	No		
Education Sector	No		
Fire	No		
NHS	No		
Integration Joint Board	No		
Police	No		
Third Sector	No		
Other(s): please list and describe the nature of the relationship / impact.			

Printed: 24/01/2024 12:03 Page: 7 of 11

### **SECTION SEVEN: ACTION PLANNING**

Mitigating Actions:

If you have identified impacts on protected characteristic groups in Section 5 please summarise these in the table below detailing the actions you are taking to mitigate or support this impact. If you are not taking any action to support or mitigate the impact you should complete the No Mitigating Actions section below instead.

Identified Impact	To Who	Action(s)	Lead Officer	Evaluation and Review Date	Strategic Reference to Corporate Plan / Service Plan / Quality Outcomes
Closure would impact on older persons	Older Persons	Prioritise this group for alterative venue location if required.  Continue with alternative delivery model- community asset transfer	Place Services	01/04/2024	Council Plan: - Supporting stronger and healthier communities - Supporting a thriving economy and green transition  Falkirk Plan: - Theme 1 - Working in Partnership with Communities

## **No Mitigating Actions**

Please explain why you do not need to take any action to mitigate or support the impact of your proposals.			
Are actions being reported to Members?	Yes		

Printed: 24/01/2024 12:03 Page: 8 of 11

If yes when and how?	Strategic Property Review reported to Members in March 2023, and an updated report to Members in 2024.			

Printed: 24/01/2024 12:03 Page: 9 of 11

SECTION EIGHT: ASSESSMENT OUTCOME					
Only one of following statements best matches your assessment of this proposal / policy / project. Please select one and provide your reasons.					
No major change i	required	No			
The proposal has to be adjusted to reduce impact on protected characteristic groups		No			
Continue with the proposal but it is not possible to remove all the risk to protected characteristic groups		Yes	Mitigations identified.		
Stop the proposal as it is potentially in breach of equality legislation		No			
SECTION NINE: LEAD OFFICER SIGN OFF					
Lead Officer:					
Signature: Crawford Bell			Date:	22/01/2024	

Printed: 24/01/2024 12:03 Page: 10 of 11

SECTION TEN: EPIA TASK GROUP ONLY				
well as ownership and a	Has the EPIA demonstrated the use of data, appropriate engagement, identified mitigating actions as well as ownership and appropriate review of actions to confidently demonstrate compliance with the general and public sector equality duties?			
ASSESSMENT FINDINGS  If YES, use this box to highlight evidence in support of the assessment of the EPIA  If NO, use this box to highlight actions needed to improve the EPIA	Information gathering of groups who use this facility has been recorded, with further opportunity of participation through a survey. Consultation shows a negative impact on older people.			
Where adverse impact on diverse communities has been identified and it is intended to continue with the proposal / policy / project, has justification for continuing without making changes been made?	Yes	If YES, please describe: A proposal for community asset transfer has been proposed as a impact on the community should continue to be assessed and morproposal is developed.	-	
LEVEL OF IMPACT: The EPIA Task Group has agreed the following level of impact on the protected characteristic groups highlighted within the EPIA				

HIGH	Yes / No	
MEDIUM	Yes	Consultation shows negative impact on older people. Further exploration of protected characteristics of hall users would be ideal.
LOW	Yes / No	

### SECTION ELEVEN: CHIEF OFFICER SIGN OFF

COMMENTS

**LEVEL** 

Director / Head of Service:				
Signature:	Karen Algie	Date:	24/01/2024	

Printed: 24/01/2024 12:03 Page: 11 of 11