## Equality & Poverty Impact Assessment 00652 (Version 1)

	SSENTIAL INFORMATION					
SECTION ONE: E	SSENTIAL INFORMATION					
Service & Division: Place Services				Lead Officer Name	: Carol Whyte	
	Growth, Planning & Climate			Team	: Sport & Leisure	
				Те	l: 07872828983	
				Emai	I: carol.whyte@falkirk.gov.u	k
Proposal:	SPR - Action Outdoors (December 2023 update)			Reference No		
What is the Proposal?		Budget & Other Financial Decision	(New	Policy v or Change)	HR Policy & Practice	Change to Service Delivery / Service Design
		Yes	No		No	No
Who does the Pi	roposal affect?	Service Users	Members of the Public		Employees	Job Applicants
		Yes	Yes		No	No
Other, please sp	ecify:					
Identify the main	n aims and projected ou	tcome of this proposal (please	add date o	f each update):		
06/12/2023 D	Deliver budget savings on	property costs as identified in	the Strateg	ic Property Review re	port March 2023.	
16/01/2024 Venue closes 31/3/23. Action plan being implemented to relocation			o relocate a	activities delivered from this venue to alternative venues.		

SECTION TWO: FINANCIAL INFORMATION					
For budget changes ONLY please include info	rmation below:		Benchmark, e.g. Scottish Average		
Current spend on this service (£'0000s)	Total:				
Reduction to this service budget (£'0000s)	Per Annum:	£14,690	Property savings identified in SPR report 2023		
Increase to this service budget (£'000s) Per Annum:					
If this is a change to a charge or	Current Annual Income Total:				
concession please complete.	Expected Annual Income Total:				
If this is a budget decision, when will the	Start Date:	01/04/2024			
saving be achieved?	End Date (if any):				

SECTION THREE: EVIDENCE	Please include any evidence or relevant information that has influenced the decisions contained in this EPIA. (This could include demographic profiles; audits; research; health needs assessments; national guidance or legislative requirements and how this relates to the protected characteristic groups.)							
A - Quantitative Evidence	This is evidence which is numerical and should include the number people who use the service and the number of people from the protected characteristic groups who might be affected by changes to the service.							
Protected characteristics -,age	, disability,							
2023/24 projected year end ad	missions = 500							
B - Qualitative Evidence	This is data which describes the effect or impac reporting.	ct of a change on a group of people, e.g. some information provided as part of performance						
Social - case studies; persona	I / group feedback / other							
Council carried out a series of accepted.	public consultation meetings and online surveys	for communities to provide feedback on SPR proposal. Email correspondence was also						
Activities delivered from Action	Outdoors will be relocated to alternative venues	S.						
Carrongrange High School off attend per session.	er water based activities for children with add	ditional support needs, non-ambulant and wheelchair users. An average of 7 students						
Α								
Best Judgement:								
Has best judgement been use	ed in place of data/research/evidence?	No						
	ment and what was this based on?							
What gaps in data / informat								
Is further research necessary	?	No						
If NO, please state why.		Activities will be delivered from alternative locations						

Has the proposal / policy / project been subject to engagement or consultation with service users taking into account their protected characteristics and socio-economic status?	Yes	
If YES, please state who was engagement with.		and the local community had the opportunity to feedback in a series of council led public neetings or completing an online survey. Emails were also accepted.
If NO engagement has been conducted, please state why.		
How was the engagement carried out?		What were the results from the engagement? Please list
Focus Group	No	
Survey	No	
Display / Exhibitions	No	
User Panels	No	
Public Event	Yes	Council Officers carried out a series of public meetings across the council area enabling communities to feedback on the SPR proposals, including the closure of Action Outdoors Centre.
Other: please specify		
Has the proposal / policy/ project been reviewed a result of the engagement?	I / changed as	No
Have the results of the engagement been fed back to the consultees?		Yes
Is further engagement recommended?		No

## SECTION FIVE: ASSESSING THE IMPACT

Equality Protected Characteristics:

What will the impact of implementing this proposal be on people who share characteristics protected by the Equality Act 2010 or are likely to be affected by the proposal / policy / project? This section allows you to consider other impacts, e.g. poverty, health inequalities, community justice, carers etc.

Protected Characteristic	Neutral Impact	Positive Impact	Negative Impact	Please provide evidence of the impact on this protected characteristic.
Age	✓			Venue predominantly used by young people. Activities will be delivered from alternative venues.
Disability	~			Carrongrange High School is the main user of this venue. Activities will be delivered from alternative venues.
Sex	✓			Activities will be delivered from alternative venues.
Ethnicity				
Religion / Belief / non-Belief				
Sexual Orientation				
Transgender				
Pregnancy / Maternity				
Marriage / Civil Partnership				
Poverty	✓			Activities will be delivered from alternative venues.
Care Experienced	✓			Activities will be delivered from alternative venues.
Other, health, community justice, carers etc.				
Risk (Identify other risks associated with this change)				·

Public Sector Equality Duty: Scottish Public Authorities must have 'due regard' to the need to eliminate unlawful discrimination, advance quality of opportunity and foster good relations. Scottish specific duties include:

	Evidence of Due Regard
Eliminate Unlawful Discrimination (harassment, victimisation and other prohibited conduct):	
Advance Equality of Opportunity:	Activities will be delivered from alternative venues.
Foster Good Relations (promoting understanding and reducing prejudice):	

ECTION SIX: PARTNERS / OTHER STAKEHOLDERS				
Which sectors are likely to have an interest in or be affected by the proposal / policy / project?		Describe the interest / affect.		
Business	No			
Councils	No			
		Carrongrange High School is the main user of this venue. Activities will be delivered from alternative venues.		
Fire No				
NHS	No			
Integration Joint Board	No			
Police	No			
Third Sector No				
Other(s): please list and describe the nature of the relationship / impact.				

Mitigating Actions:	If you have identified impacts on protected characteristic groups in Section 5 please summarise these in the table below detailing the actions you are taking to mitigate or support this impact. If you are not taking any action to support or mitigate the impact you should complete the No Mitigating Actions section below instead.						
Identified Impact	To Who	Action(s)	Lead Officer	Evaluation and Review Date	Strategic Reference to Corporate Plan / Service Plan / Quality Outcomes		
Age	Young People	Mitigate against closure relocating activities to alternative venues.	Gary Smith		Council Plan Supporting stronger & healthier communities.		
Disability	Young People	Mitigate against closure relocating activities to alternative venues	Gary Smith		Council Plan Supporting stronger & healthier communities.		
Sex	Young People	Mitigate against closure relocating activities to alternative venues	Gary Smith		Council Plan Supporting stronger & healthier communities.		
Poverty	Young People	Mitigate against closure relocating activities to alternative venues	Gary Smith		Council Plan Supporting stronger & healthier communities.		
Care experienced	Young People	Mitigate against closure relocating activities to alternative venues	Gary Smith		Council Plan Supporting stronger & healthier communities.		

No Mitigating Actions

Please explain why you do not need to take any action to mitigate or support the impact of your proposals.

Are actions being reported to Members?	Yes
If yes when and how ?	Council Meeting 31st January 2024 SPR update to elected members.

## SECTION EIGHT: ASSESSMENT OUTCOME

No major change required	No	
The proposal has to be adjusted to reduce impact on protected characteristic groups	No	
Continue with the proposal but it is not possible to remove all the risk to protected characteristic groups	Yes	Deliver budgeted savings on property costs as identified in SPR report. Activities will be delivered from alternative venues to mitigate against closure.
Stop the proposal as it is potentially in breach of equality legislation	No	
SECTION NINE: LEAD OFFICER SIGN OFF		

Leau Officer.						
Signature:	Carol Whyte	Date:	16/01/2024			

OVERALL AS	SESSMENT OF E	PIA: Has the EPIA demonstrated the use of data, appropriate engagement, identified mitigating actions as well as ownership and appropriate review of actions to confidently demonstrate compliance with the general and public sector equality duties?					
ASSESSMENT FINDINGS			Activities w	ill be delivered from al	ternative venues to mitigate against closure.		
If YES, use this box to highlight evidence in support of the assessment of the EPIA If NO, use this box to highlight actions needed to improve							
the EPIA	ins box to mgning						
Where adverse impact on diverse communities has been identified and it is intended to continue with the proposal / policy / project, has justification for continuing <u>without</u> <u>making changes been made</u> ?			osal /	If YES, please descr N/A	be:		
LEVEL OF IN	IPACT: The EPI	A Task Group has agreed the	e following level of	impact on the protecte	ed characteristic groups highlighted within t	he EPIA	
LEVEL	C	OMMENTS					
HIGH	Yes / No						
MEDIUM	Yes / No						
LOW Yes Action plan being implemented to re			ted to relocate activ	ities delivered from thi	s venue to alternative venues.		
SECTION EL	EVEN: CHIEF OFI	FICER SIGN OFF					
Director / H	ead of Service:						
Signature: Michael McGuinness					22/01/2024		