Equality & Poverty Impact Assessment 00097 (Version 1)

SECTION ONE: ESSEI	SECTION ONE: ESSENTIAL INFORMATION						
Service & Division:	Development Servi	relopment Services ign, Roads & Transport		Lead Officer Nam	e: Gary McGowan		
	Design, Roads & Tra			Tear	n: Transport Coordination	Transport Coordination	
				To	ol: 01324 504925		
				Ema	il: gary.mcgowan@falkirk.go	gary.mcgowan@falkirk.gov.uk	
Proposal:		Design, Roads and Transport: F Disability Transport	Review of	Reference N	o: DV19		
What is the Proposal? Budget & Other Financial Decision		(New	Policy v or Change)	HR Policy & Practice	Change to Service Delivery / Service Design		

What is the Proposal?	Financial Decision (New or Change)		The Follow & Fractice	/ Service Design	
	Yes	No	No	Yes	
Who does the Proposal affect?	Service Users	Members of the Public	Employees	Job Applicants	
	Yes	No	Yes	No	
Other, please specify:					

Identify the m	entify the main aims and projected outcome of this proposal (please add date of each update):					
01/04/2019	A review of the Adult Day service provision by Social Work Services which will see a move from a centre based to a more community based service. As consequence the requirement for transport to and from the Adult Day Centres will diminish.					

Printed: 10/04/2019 14:19 Page: 1 of 10

SECTION TWO: FINANCIAL INFORMATION				
For budget changes ONLY please include information below:			Benchmark, e.g. Scottish Average	
Current spend on this service (£'0000s)	Total:	322.4		
Reduction to this service budget (£'0000s)	Per Annum:	88.0		
Increase to this service budget (£'000s)	Per Annum:			
If this is a change to a charge or	Current Annual Income Total:			
concession please complete.	Expected Annual			

01/04/2019

31/03/2020

Income Total:

End Date (if any):

Start Date:

If this is a budget decision, when will the

saving be achieved?

Printed: 10/04/2019 14:19 Page: 2 of 10

SECTION THREE: EVIDENCE	Please include any evidence or relevant information that has influenced the decisions contained in this EPIA. (This could include
	demographic profiles; audits; research; health needs assessments; national guidance or legislative requirements and how this relates to the
	protected characteristic groups.)

A - Quantitative Evidence This is evidence which is numerical and should include the number people who use the service and the number of people from the protected characteristic groups who might be affected by changes to the service.

Development Services currently provides group transport for c100 Service Users to the Adult Day Centres on various days of the week. All Service Users have learning or physical disabilities.

B - Qualitative Evidence This is data which describes the effect or impact of a change on a group of people, e.g. some information provided as part of performance reporting.

Social - case studies; personal / group feedback / other

This information would be held by Social Work Adult Services.

Best Judgement:	
Has best judgement been used in place of data/research/evidence?	No
Who provided the best judgement and what was this based on?	
What gaps in data / information were identified?	
Is further research necessary?	No
If NO, please state why.	Service Users will no longer attend a centre based service.

Printed: 10/04/2019 14:19 Page: 3 of 10

SECTION FOUR: ENGAGEMENT Engagemen	t with individua	s or organisations affected by the policy or proposal must take place
Has the proposal / policy / project been subject to engagement or consultation with service users taking into account their protected characteristics and socio-economic status?	Yes	
If YES, please state who was engagement with.	Social Work A Service Users	dult Services have carried out a programme of engagement about the review of day services with and carers.
If NO engagement has been conducted, please state why.		
How was the engagement carried out?		What were the results from the engagement? Please list
Focus Group	Yes	Service Users meetings at Adult Day centres. Results are held with Adult Services.
Survey	No	
Display / Exhibitions	No	
User Panels	No	
Public Event	No	
Other: please specify		
Has the proposal / policy/ project been reviewed / changed as a result of the engagement?		Yes / No
Have the results of the engagement been fed back to the consultees?		Yes / No
Is further engagement recommended?		Yes / No

Printed: 10/04/2019 14:19 Page: 4 of 10

SECTION FIVE: ASSESSING THE IMPACT

Equality Protected Characteristics:

What will the impact of implementing this proposal be on people who share characteristics protected by the Equality Act 2010 or are likely to be affected by the proposal / policy / project? This section allows you to consider other impacts, e.g. poverty, health inequalities, community justice, public protection etc.

Protected Characteristic	Neutral Impact	Positive Impact	Negative Impact	Please provide evidence of the impact on this protected characteristic.
Age				
Disability	✓			There is a reduction in the requirement for transport for service users with disabilities, as the service provision by Social Work Services is moving to a community based service model. The Integration Joint Board have reported this to Council as part of their ongoing Health and Social Care Partnership update. The remaining transport service delivery is matched to the IJB service review requirement.
Sex				
Ethnicity				
Religion / Belief / non-Belief				
Sexual Orientation				
Transgender				
Pregnancy / Maternity				
Marriage / Civil Partnership				
Poverty				
Other, health, community justice, public protection etc.				
Risk (Identify other risks associated with this change)			•	

Printed: 10/04/2019 14:19 Page: 5 of 10

Public Sector Equality Duty: Scottish Public Authorities must have 'due regard' to the need to eliminate unlawful discrimination, advance quality of opportunity and foster good relations. Scottish specific duties include:

	Evidence of Due Regard
Eliminate Unlawful Discrimination (harassment, victimisation and other prohibited conduct):	People with disabilities will not be unlawfully discriminated against. A review of the Adult Day service provision by Social Work Services which will see a move from a centre based to a more community based service. As consequence the requirement for transport to and from the Adult Day Centres will diminish.
Advance Equality of Opportunity:	
Foster Good Relations (promoting understanding and reducing prejudice):	

Printed: 10/04/2019 14:19 Page: 6 of 10

SECTION SIX: PARTNERS / OTHER STAKEHOLDERS				
Which sectors are likely to have an interest in or be affected by the proposal / policy / project?		Describe the interest / affect.		
Business	No			
Councils	No			
Education Sector	No			
Fire	No			
NHS	No			
Integration Joint Board	Yes	The transport service is delivered on behalf of Social Work Services.		
Police	No			
Third Sector	No			
Other(s): please list and describe the nature of				
the relationship / impact.				

Printed: 10/04/2019 14:19 Page: 7 of 10

SECTION SEVEN: ACTION PLANNING

Mitigating Actions:

If you have identified impacts on protected characteristic groups in Section 5 please summarise these in the table below detailing the actions you are taking to mitigate or support this impact. If you are not taking any action to support or mitigate the impact you should complete the No Mitigating Actions section below instead.

Identified Impact	To Who	Action(s)	Lead Officer	and Review	Strategic Reference to Corporate Plan / Service Plan / Quality Outcomes

No Mitigating Actions

Please explain why you do not need to take any action to mitigate or support the impact of your proposals.

Service Users are moving to a community based service and no longer need the same level of transport service.

The review of service is being managed by Social Work Adult Services, and reported to the Council by the Integration joint Board as part of their Health and Social Care Partnership update.

Are actions being reported to Members?	Yes			
If yes when and how ?	Report by the Chief Officer, Falkirk Integration Joint Board, to Falkirk Council 19 September 2018.			

Printed: 10/04/2019 14:19 Page: 8 of 10

SECTION EIGHT: ASSESSMENT OUTCOME										
Only one of following statements best matches your assessment of this proposal / policy / project. Please select one and provide your reasons.										
No major change r	required	No								
The proposal has t	to be adjusted to reduce impact on protected ups	No								
Continue with the to protected chara	proposal but it is not possible to remove all the risk acteristic groups	Yes		The group transport will be removed from those service users who will attend a community based service.						
Stop the proposal	as it is potentially in breach of equality legislation	No								
SECTION NINE: LEAD OFFICER SIGN OFF										
Lead Officer:										
Signature:	Gary McGowan		Date:	18/01/2019						

Printed: 10/04/2019 14:19 Page: 9 of 10

SECTION TEN: EPIA TASK GROUP ONLY											
OVERALL ASSESSMENT OF EPIA: Has the EPIA demonstrate				red the use of data, appropriate engagement, identified mitigating actions as oppropriate review of actions to confidently demonstrate compliance with the requality duties?							
ASSESSMENT FINDINGS				Information on users of this service has been used in this assessment.							
If YES, use this box to highlight evidence in support of the assessment of the EPIA If NO, use this box to highlight actions needed to improve											
the EPIA				1							
Where adverse impact on diverse communities has been identified and it is intended to continue with the proposal / policy / project, has justification for continuing without making changes been made?				Yes	If YES, please describe: Given the change in day care services, this provision is on longer required.						
LEVEL OF IMI	LEVEL OF IMPACT: The EPIA Task Group has agreed the following level of impact on the protected characteristic groups highlighted within the EPIA										
LEVEL COMMENTS											
HIGH	Yes / No										
MEDIUM	Yes / No										
LOW	Yes										
SECTION ELEVEN: CHIEF OFFICER SIGN OFF											
Director / Head of Service:											
Signature:	: Rhona Geisler				Date:	14/02/2019					

Printed: 10/04/2019 14:19 Page: 10 of 10