Equality & Poverty Impact Assessment 00002 (Version 1)

SECTION ONE	ESSENTIAL INFORMATION						
Service & Division: Development Services				Lead Officer Name: Douglas Gardiner			
	Environmental Services				: Waste Services		
				Te	01324590437		
				Emai	douglas.gardiner@falkirk.gov.uk		
Proposal:	Removal of Pest Cor	ntrol Services		Reference No	: DV01		
What is the Pi	oposal?	Budget & Other Financial Decision	Policy (New or Change)		HR Policy & Practice	Change to Service Delivery / Service Design	
		Yes		No	No	Yes	
Who does the Proposal affect?		Service Users	Members of the Public		Employees	Job Applicants	
		Yes	Yes		Yes	No	
Other, please	specify:						
Identify the m	ain aims and projected out	tcome of this proposal (please	add date o	f each update):			
27/02/2019	2/2019 Council would need to direct service users to utilise private companies. There would be an impact on staffing.						

Printed: 10/04/2019 14:28 Page: 1 of 10

For budget changes ONLY please include infor	Benchmark, e.g. Scottish Average		
Current spend on this service (£'0000s)	Total:	15	Net of income
Reduction to this service budget (£'0000s)	Per Annum:	10	The Council would still need to provide for stray dogs and would not be bringing in any income if the whole service was removed.
Increase to this service budget (£'000s)	Per Annum:	0	
If this is a change to a charge or	Current Annual Income Total:	N/A	
concession please complete.	Expected Annual Income Total:	N/A	
If this is a budget decision, when will the	Start Date:	01/04/2019	
saving be achieved?	End Date (if any):	31/03/2020	

Printed: 10/04/2019 14:28 Page: 2 of 10

SECTION THREE: EVIDENCE	Please include any evidence or relevant information that has influenced the decisions contained in this EPIA. (This could include
	demographic profiles; audits; research; health needs assessments; national guidance or legislative requirements and how this relates to the
	protected characteristic groups.)

A - Quantitative Evidence This is evidence which is numerical and should include the number people who use the service and the number of people from the protected characteristic groups who might be affected by changes to the service.

Data from the number of call-outs is provided below:

April 2018 - March 2018 = 701

April 2018 - Nov 2018 = 806

Nov 18 to date = 24

*last year there were 173 jobs from 01/11/17 to 31/03/18

B - Qualitative Evidence This is data which describes the effect or impact of a change on a group of people, e.g. some information provided as part of performance reporting.

Social - case studies; personal / group feedback / other

Best Judgement:					
Has best judgement been used in place of data/research/evidence?	Yes				
Who provided the best judgement and what was this based on?	Area Estates Coordinator knowledge of service				
What gaps in data / information were identified?					
Is further research necessary?	No				
If NO, please state why.	Details provided				

Printed: 10/04/2019 14:28 Page: 3 of 10

SECTION FOUR: ENGAGEMENT Engagement with individuals or organisations affected by the policy or proposal must take place				
Has the proposal / policy / project been subject to engagement or consultation with service users taking into account their protected characteristics and socio-economic status?	Yes			
If YES, please state who was engagement with.	Members of the public via public consultation in 2018 on Council's savings options.			
If NO engagement has been conducted, please state why.				
How was the engagement carried out?		What were the results from the engagement? Please list		
Focus Group	No			
Survey	Yes	survey results from sample of 1061 suggest 39% reduce the service 15% stop the services and 46% make no changes		
Display / Exhibitions	No			
User Panels	No			
Public Event	No			
Other: please specify				
Has the proposal / policy/ project been reviewed / changed as a result of the engagement?		No		
Have the results of the engagement been fed back to the consultees?		No		
Is further engagement recommended?		No		

Printed: 10/04/2019 14:28 Page: 4 of 10

SECTION FIVE: ASSESSING THE IMPACT

Equality Protected Characteristics:

What will the impact of implementing this proposal be on people who share characteristics protected by the Equality Act 2010 or are likely to be affected by the proposal / policy / project? This section allows you to consider other impacts, e.g. poverty, health inequalities, community justice, public protection etc.

Protected Characteristic	Neutral Impact	Positive Impact	Negative Impact	Please provide evidence of the impact on this protected characteristic.
Age	✓			Implementing proposal does not disproportionately impact on this characteristic
Disability	✓			Implementing proposal does not disproportionately impact on this characteristic
Sex	✓			Implementing proposal does not disproportionately impact on this characteristic
Ethnicity	✓			Implementing proposal does not disproportionately impact on this characteristic
Religion / Belief / non-Belief	✓			Implementing proposal does not disproportionately impact on this characteristic
Sexual Orientation	✓			Implementing proposal does not disproportionately impact on this characteristic
Transgender	✓			Implementing proposal does not disproportionately impact on this characteristic
Pregnancy / Maternity	✓			Implementing proposal does not disproportionately impact on this characteristic
Marriage / Civil Partnership	✓			Implementing proposal does not disproportionately impact on this characteristic
Poverty			✓	If private sector charges are higher than current service this would impact on service users
Other, health, community justice, public protection etc.	✓			Implementing proposal does not disproportionately impact on this characteristic
Risk (Identify other risks associated with this change)				,

Printed: 10/04/2019 14:28 Page: 5 of 10

Public Sector Equality Duty: Scottish Public Authorities must have 'due regard' to the need to eliminate unlawful discrimination, advance quality of opportunity and foster good relations. Scottish specific duties include:

	Evidence of Due Regard
Eliminate Unlawful Discrimination (harassment, victimisation and other prohibited conduct):	The proposal does not aid elimination of unlawful discrimination but neither does it have an adverse effect.
Advance Equality of Opportunity:	The proposal does not aid the advancement of equality of opportunity but neither does it have an adverse effect.
Foster Good Relations (promoting understanding and reducing prejudice):	The proposal does not help foster good relationships but neither does it have an adverse effect.

Printed: 10/04/2019 14:28 Page: 6 of 10

SECTION SIX: PARTNERS / OTHER STAKEHOLDERS					
Which sectors are likely to have an interest in or be affected by the proposal / policy / project?		Describe the interest / affect.			
Business Yes		If private sector charges are higher than the current service this would impact on service users. Business has an interest in providing a service.			
Councils	Yes	If private sector charges are higher than the current service this would impact on service users.			
Education Sector	Yes	If private sector charges are higher than the current service this would impact on service users.			
Fire	No				
NHS	No				
Integration Joint Board	No				
Police	No				
Third Sector	Yes	If private sector charges are higher than the current service this would impact on service users			
Other(s): please list and describe the nature of the relationship / impact.					

Printed: 10/04/2019 14:28 Page: 7 of 10

SECTION SEVEN: ACTION PLANNING

Mitigating Actions:

If you have identified impacts on protected characteristic groups in Section 5 please summarise these in the table below detailing the actions you are taking to mitigate or support this impact. If you are not taking any action to support or mitigate the impact you should complete the No Mitigating Actions section below instead.

Identified Impact	To Who	Action(s)	Lead Officer	Evaluation and Review Date	Strategic Reference to Corporate Plan / Service Plan / Quality Outcomes
Removal of service	Service users	Council to inform users to contact private companies	F Cianni	01/04/2019	

No Mitigating Actions

Please explain why you do not need to take any action to mitigate or support the impact of your proposals.					
Are actions being reported to Members?	re actions being reported to Members? Yes				
If yes when and how ?	At full Council meeting in February 2019				

Printed: 10/04/2019 14:28 Page: 8 of 10

SECTION EIGHT: ASSESSMENT OUTCOME							
Only one of follow	Only one of following statements best matches your assessment of this proposal / policy / project. Please select one and provide your reasons.						
No major change required		No					
The proposal has characteristic gro	to be adjusted to reduce impact on protected ups	No					
Continue with the to protected char	e proposal but it is not possible to remove all the risk acteristic groups	Yes	Service users will still have access to pest control albeit through private sector				
Stop the proposal	as it is potentially in breach of equality legislation	No					
SECTION NINE: LEAD OFFICER SIGN OFF							
Lead Officer:							
Signature:	Carl Bullough		Date:	07/01/2019			

Printed: 10/04/2019 14:28 Page: 9 of 10

SECTION TEN: EPIA TASK GROUP ONLY							
OVERALL ASS				ted the use of data, appropriate engagement, identified mitigating actions as ppropriate review of actions to confidently demonstrate compliance with the r equality duties?			
ASSESSMENT FINDINGS				The lead officer refers to quantitative data about the number of jobs in recent years. No data on			
If YES, use this box to highlight evidence in support of the assessment of the EPIA				the protected characteristics of service users/potential service users, so assumptions have been made.			
If NO, use this box to highlight actions needed to improve the EPIA							
Where adverse impact on diverse communities has been identified and it is intended to continue with the proposal / policy / project, has justification for continuing without making changes been made?				Yes / No	If YES, please describe: Service users will still have access to pest control albeit through private sector.		
LEVEL OF IMPACT: The EPIA Task Group has agreed the following level of impact on the protected characteristic groups highlighted within the EPIA							
LEVEL COMMENTS							
HIGH	Yes / No						
MEDIUM	Yes / No						
LOW	Yes	If private sector charges are higher than the current service this would impact on service users, in particular on people in poverty.					
SECTION ELEVEN: CHIEF OFFICER SIGN OFF							
Director / Head of Service:							
Signature:	Rhona Geisler				Date:	24/01/2019	

Printed: 10/04/2019 14:28 Page: 10 of 10