Equality & Poverty Impact Assessment 00010 (Version 1)

SECTION ONE	: ESSENTIAL INFORMATION						
Service & Division: Development Services			Lead Officer Nam	e: Douglas Gardiner	Douglas Gardiner		
	Environmental Services			Tear	Waste Services		
				Te	01324590437		
				Ema	l: douglas.gardiner@falkirk.	douglas.gardiner@falkirk.gov.uk	
Proposal:	Waste Recycling Cer	Waste Recycling Centres opening hours			DV02		
What is the P	roposal?	Budget & Other Financial Decision	Policy (New or Change)		HR Policy & Practice	Change to Service Delivery / Service Design	
		Yes	No		No	Yes	
Who does the	Proposal affect?	Service Users	Members of the Public		Employees	Job Applicants	
		No	Yes		Yes	No	
Other, please	specify:						
Identify the m	nain aims and projected out	tcome of this proposal (please	add date o	f each update):			
16/01/2019 The Council currently operates 2 Household Waste Recycling Centre to reduce the opening of both sites to 5 days each week (retaining			_		the district at Roughmute, a	nd one at Kinneil. Proposal is	

Printed: 10/04/2019 14:24 Page: 1 of 10

SECTION TWO: FINANCIAL INFORMATION					
For budget changes ONLY please include info	Benchmark, e.g. Scottish Average				
Current spend on this service (£'0000s) Total: £786					
Reduction to this service budget (£'0000s)	Per Annum:	£200			
Increase to this service budget (£'000s)	Per Annum:				
If this is a change to a charge or	Current Annual Income Total:				
concession please complete.	Expected Annual Income Total:				
If this is a budget decision, when will the Start Date:		01/04/2019			

End Date (if any):

saving be achieved?

Printed: 10/04/2019 14:24 Page: 2 of 10

SECTION THREE: EVIDENCE	Please include any evidence or relevant information that has influenced the decisions contained in this EPIA. (This could include demographic profiles; audits; research; health needs assessments; national guidance or legislative requirements and how this relates to the protected characteristic groups.)				
A - Quantitative Evidence	This is evidence which is numerical and should include the number people who use the service and the number of people from the protected characteristic groups who might be affected by changes to the service.				
Both sites will remain opening over the weekends, when the site is most used by the public.					

B - Qualitative Evidence	This is data which describes the effect or impact of a change on a group of people, e.g. some information provided as part of performance
	reporting.

Social - case studies; personal / group feedback / other

Best Judgement:					
Has best judgement been used in place of data/research/evidence?	Yes				
Who provided the best judgement and what was this based on?	Waste Manager				
What gaps in data / information were identified?					
Is further research necessary?	No				
If NO, please state why.	Site usage data collated over consecutive years, indicate that both sites are most use over weekend periods.				

Printed: 10/04/2019 14:24 Page: 3 of 10

SECTION FOUR: ENGAGEMENT Engagemen	t with individua	s or organisations affected by the policy or proposal must take place
Has the proposal / policy / project been subject to engagement or consultation with service users taking into account their protected characteristics and socio-economic status?	No	
If YES, please state who was engagement with.		
If NO engagement has been conducted, please state why.	Site usage dat this.	a confirms that the site is most used over weekend periods. The proposed opening times reflect
How was the engagement carried out?		What were the results from the engagement? Please list
Focus Group	No	
Survey	No	
Display / Exhibitions	No	
User Panels	No	
Public Event	No	
Other: please specify		
Has the proposal / policy/ project been reviewed / changed as a result of the engagement?		No
Have the results of the engagement been fed back to the consultees?		No
Is further engagement recommended?		No

Printed: 10/04/2019 14:24 Page: 4 of 10

SECTION FIVE: ASSESSING THE IMPACT

Equality Protected Characteristics:

What will the impact of implementing this proposal be on people who share characteristics protected by the Equality Act 2010 or are likely to be affected by the proposal / policy / project? This section allows you to consider other impacts, e.g. poverty, health inequalities, community justice, public protection etc.

Protected Characteristic	Neutral Impact	Positive Impact	Negative Impact	Please provide evidence of the impact on this protected characteristic.
Age	✓			Both sites will remain open.
Disability	✓			Both sites will remain open.
Sex	✓			Both sites will remain open.
Ethnicity	✓			Both sites will remain open.
Religion / Belief / non-Belief	✓			Both sites will remain open.
Sexual Orientation	✓			Both sites will remain open.
Transgender	✓			Both sites will remain open.
Pregnancy / Maternity	✓			Both sites will remain open.
Marriage / Civil Partnership	✓			Both sites will remain open.
Poverty	✓			Both sites will remain open.
Other, health, community justice, public protection etc.	√			Both sites will remain open.
Risk (Identify other risks associated with this change)				

Printed: 10/04/2019 14:24 Page: 5 of 10

Public Sector Equality Duty: Scottish Public Authorities must have 'due regard' to the need to eliminate unlawful discrimination, advance quality of opportunity and foster good relations. Scottish specific duties include:

	Evidence of Due Regard
Eliminate Unlawful Discrimination (harassment, victimisation and other prohibited conduct):	Both Recycling Centres will remain open to the public on the busiest days.
Advance Equality of Opportunity:	
Foster Good Relations (promoting understanding and reducing prejudice):	

Printed: 10/04/2019 14:24 Page: 6 of 10

SECTION SIX: PARTNERS / OTHER STAKEHOLDERS					
Which sectors are likely to have an interest in or be affected by the proposal / policy / project?		Describe the interest / affect.			
Business Yes		Businesses will be restricted to accessing sites in line with opening times. Other licenced facilities are available.			
Councils	No				
Education Sector	No				
Fire	No				
NHS	No				
Integration Joint Board	No				
Police	No				
Third Sector	No				
Other(s): please list and describe the nature of the relationship / impact.					

Printed: 10/04/2019 14:24 Page: 7 of 10

SECTION SEVEN: ACTION PLANNING

Mitigating Actions:

If you have identified impacts on protected characteristic groups in Section 5 please summarise these in the table below detailing the actions you are taking to mitigate or support this impact. If you are not taking any action to support or mitigate the impact you should complete the No Mitigating Actions section below instead.

Identified Impact	To Who	Action(s)	Lead Officer	Evaluation and Review Date	Strategic Reference to Corporate Plan / Service Plan / Quality Outcomes
Closure of Recycling Centres, 2 days per week.	Public.	Both the Recycling Centres will be open 5 days per week. Members of the public will always have access to at least one site 7 days a week.	Douglas Gardiner	16/01/2019	Council Budget Report

No Mitigating Actions

ease explain why you do not need to take any action to mitigate or support the impact of your proposals.					
Are actions being reported to Members?	Yes				
If yes when and how ?	This will be reported within the Council Budget Report on 27 February.				

Printed: 10/04/2019 14:24 Page: 8 of 10

SECTION EIGHT: A	SECTION EIGHT: ASSESSMENT OUTCOME						
Only one of follow	Only one of following statements best matches your assessment of this proposal / policy / project. Please select one and provide your reasons.						
No major change required		Yes	Both the Recycling Centres will be open 5 days per week. Members of the public will always have access to at least one site 7 days a week.				
The proposal has characteristic gro	to be adjusted to reduce impact on protected ups	No					
Continue with the to protected char	e proposal but it is not possible to remove all the risk acteristic groups	No					
Stop the proposal	l as it is potentially in breach of equality legislation	No					
SECTION NINE: LE	SECTION NINE: LEAD OFFICER SIGN OFF						
Lead Officer:							
Signature: Douglas Gardiner			Date:	16/01/2019			

Printed: 10/04/2019 14:24 Page: 9 of 10

SECTION TEN: EPIA TASK GROUP ONLY							
			ted the use of data, appropriate engagement, identified mitigating actions as oppropriate review of actions to confidently demonstrate compliance with the requality duties?				
ASSESSMENT FINDINGS				More consultation needed to identify impacts on protected characteristic groups			
If YES, use this box to highlight evidence in support of the assessment of the EPIA If NO, use this box to highlight actions needed to improve the EPIA							
Where adverse impact on diverse communities has been identified and it is intended to continue with the proposal / policy / project, has justification for continuing without making changes been made?				No	If YES, please describe: more information needed		
LEVEL OF IMPACT: The EPIA Task Group has agreed the following level of impact on the protected characteristic groups highlighted within the EPIA							
LEVEL COMMENTS							
HIGH	Yes / No						
MEDIUM	Yes / No						
LOW	Yes						
SECTION ELEVEN: CHIEF OFFICER SIGN OFF							
Director / Head of Service:							
Signature:	Rhona G	eisler			Date:	05/02/2019	

Printed: 10/04/2019 14:24 Page: 10 of 10